

②

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

NEW BOMBAY BENCH

Q.A. No.
T. A. No.

276/87

198

DATE OF DECISION 1.2.1990

R.K.Verma

Petitioner

-

Advocate for the Petitioner (s)

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

Respondent

Mr.S.C.Mehadia

Advocate for the Respondent (s)

CORAM

The Hon'ble Mr. M.B.Mujumdar, Member(J),

The Hon'ble Mr. M.Y.Priolkar, Member(A).

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement ? X
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ? No
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement ? No
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ? No

✓

3
BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
NEW BOMBAY BENCH, NEW BOMBAY.

Tr. Application No.276/87.

R.K.Verma.	... Applicant
V/s.	(Petitioner)
Union of India & Ors.	... Respondents
	(Defendants).

Coram: Hon'ble Member(J), Shri M.B.Mujumdar,
Hon'ble Member(A), Shri M.Y.Priolkar.

Appearances:

None present for the
applicant.
Mr.S.C.Mehadia, learned
advocate for Respondents
No.2, 3 and 4.

ORAL JUDGMENT:

¶Per Shri M.B.Mujumdar, Member(J)¶ Dated: 1.2.1990

The applicant (Petitioner) Mr.R.K.Verma had
filed Writ Petition No.1760/83 in the Nagpur Bench of
the High Court of Judicature at Bombay challenging the
penalty imposed on him by order dt. 11.9.1982 at
Annexure - 9 of the application and for certain other
reliefs. The writ petition is transferred to this
Tribunal under section 29 of the Administrative Tribunals
Act, 1985.

2. When the petition was filed, the petitioner was
working as Sub-area Manager of Coal Mines owned and
managed by Respondent No.3, as a subsidiary of Respondent
No.2.

3. In our order dt. 21.11.1989 we had expressed
a doubt about the jurisdiction of this Tribunal to
decide the petition as Coal India Limited is not notified
under section 14(2) of the Administrative Tribunals Act.
Hence we had issued notices to the petitioner and the
respondents for explaining the point about the jurisdiction
of this Tribunal.

...2.

✓

4. Notice was sent to the applicant by Registered post, but acknowledgement is not yet received.

Mr.S.C.Mehadia, learned advocate for Respondents No.2, 3 and 4 concedes that this Tribunal will have no jurisdiction to decide this petition.

5. We find that Coal India Limited is an independent body which is not notified under section 14(2) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985. Hence we have no jurisdiction to decide this petition.

6. We therefore, direct that the papers be remitted back to the High Court.


(M.Y. PRIOLKAR)
MEMBER (A)


(M.B. MUJUMDAR)
MEMBER (J).