

CEPA-2/2000 (R)

This is an application  
U/S 17 of  
Act 1985. It  
is in present  
2 pages book  
form. It is  
free from  
defects.

Submitted  
before the  
Bench for  
hearing on  
stay on -  
22/9/2000  
Kishore Lal  
21/9/2000

1. Ambar  
21/9/2000  
5.0 (5th)

Requester  
Filed on -  
23/9/2000  
at Ranchi  
u/s  
23/9/2000

Notices issued  
on 28.9.2000  
u/s  
28/9/2000

1/22.09.2000 : Circuit Bench at Ranchi :

Mrs. M.M.Pal, counsel for the applicant.

Heard ld. counsel for the applicant and also  
perused the record. Issue show cause notice to the res-  
pondents as to why a proceeding of contempt be not ini-  
tiated against him. Respondent shall file his reply within  
four weeks from the date hereof. Requisites to be filed  
within two days.

List it on 20.10.2000 for hearing on contempt.

skj

(S.Narayan)/V.C.

20.10.2000

CM

CIRCUIT BENCH AT RANCHI

Mrs. M.M.Pal..counsel for the applicant

Notices have already been issued.  
None appears for the respondents. Let it be  
listed on 28.11.2000 awaiting W.S.

(L.R.K.Prasad)  
M(A)

(S.Narayan)  
V.C.

CCPA No. 2/2000 (R)  
(O.A.No.608/96)

3/15.01.2001 : Circuit Bench at Ranchi :

Mrs. M.M.Pal, counsel for the applicant.

Mr. M.M.Prasad, counsel for the opposite party.

Show cause reply filed on behalf of the respondents be kept on the record.

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties. From the show cause reply, as also the letter dated, 15th December, 2000, appended to the reply, along with the calculation sheet of interest, we get an impression that there has been sufficient compliance of the earlier order of this Tribunal as per which interest calculated from 1st April, 1996 to 24th August, 1996, @ 12% p.a. appears to have been settled and also paid to the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant, however, submitted that she has got no further instructions from the applicant.

4. In any view of the matter, having noticed the facts narrated in the show cause reply, supported with affidavit, we do not consider it necessary to pursue the instant CCPA and, accordingly, it is dropped. The applicant is, however, not precluded from representing his case before the respondents, if some more amount was admissible to him.

skj

(L.R.K.Prasad)/M(A)

(S.Narayan)/V.C.