

15.12.97  
 13  
 e.A. not filed  
 2/2/98

MO. 11.12.97. 11.12.98  
 11.2.98  
 J.  
 M.C.

4

11-2-98  
 14

Hon. Mr. V.L. Seth, A.M.  
 Hon. Mr. D.C. Verma J.M.

Applicant, who is present in person, states that he has <sup>not</sup> received copy of the objection. Learned counsel for Attorney, brief holder for Sh. H. Chaturvedi for respondents, undertakes to supply a copy of the objections during the course of the week whereafter the applicant is allowed 2 weeks for filing a reply to the objections filed by the respondents. List for orders on 24-3-98.

See

J.M.

A.M.

15 24-3-98

Hon. Mr. V.L. Seth, A.M.  
 Hon. Mr. D.C. Verma J.M.

For petitioner: Applicant in person.  
 For respondents: Sh. H. Chaturvedi.

The learned counsel for respondents invited our attention to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in re. Union of India & Others vs. Virpal Singh Chauhan & Others reported in (1995) 6 SCC page 684 and para-34 thereof. In the said para, apex court has, inter alia, ordered as below:

"The proper course, in our considered opinion, is to send all these matters back to the Tribunal to work the rights of individuals concerned applying the three principles aforesaid. These appeals are accordingly disposed of in the above terms and matters remanded to the respective Tribunals. Writ petitions are dismissed

Copy prepared on 31-3-98 and filed with order at 24-3-98 sent to Delhi 2/4/98