

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
LUCKNOW BENCH**

Original Application No.223/2006
This the 21st day of April 2006

HON'BLE MR. M. KANTHAIAH, MEMBER JUDICIAL.

Hanuman Singh aged about adult son of Late Shri Kalloo Singh,
resident of Village Lalpurwa, Post Anaura Kala, District
Barabanki.

...Applicant.

By Advocate: Shri S.P. Singh for Shri Praveen Kumar.

Versus.

1. Union of India through the General Manager, Northern
Railway, Baroda House, New Delhi.
2. The Divisional Railway Manager, Northern Railway, Lucknow.

By Advocate: Shri Atul Dixit for Shri K.K. Shukla.

ORDER (Oral)

BY HON'BLE MR. M. KANTHAIAH, MEMBER JUDICIAL.

1. Heard Shri S.P. Singh holding brief for Shri Praveen Kumar,
the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Atul Dixit
holding brief for Shri K.K. Shukla, the learned counsel for
respondents.
2. The case of the applicant is that the respondents are not
considering the case of the applicant for appointment of his
son after voluntary retiring the applicant in the light of
Railway Board Letter No. E (P&A) 1-2001/RT-2(KW) dated
02.01.2004, for which ^{he} ~~has~~ made a representation covered
under (Annexure-3) dated 15.06.2005. Learned counsel for

(2)

^{says}
respondents ~~has~~ no objection for considering the representation of the applicant for appointing his son. As such the petition is disposed of with the direction to the respondents to consider the representation of the applicant dated 15.06.2005 (Annexure-3) for appointment of his son in view of his voluntary retirement in accordance with the rules on the subject, within a period of four months from the date the certified copy of this order is produced before him. No order as to costs.


(M. KANTHAIYAH)
MEMBER (J)

Ak/.