

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

LUCKNOW BENCH

LUCKNOW

O.A. No. 204/91

F.M.Khan and others
versus

Applicants

Union of India & others

Respondents.

Shri L.P. Shukla Counsel for Applicant.

Shri Anil Srivastava, Counsel for Respondents.

(Hon.Mr. Justice U.C.Srivastava, V.C.)

The applicants who were recruited as Commercial Apprentice in the Railways and before 15.5.87 they had acquired the training of 1½ years have not been given the benefit of the pay scale of Rs 1500-2660, while others were given the same because of the circular letter dated 15.5.87 issued by the Railway Board, which created two categories, one which was recruited it before/and the other was recruited subsequently that (applicant 1 to 4 is why the applicants have prayed that they/may be granted the pay scale of Rs 1500-2660 from 15.5.87 and the applicant No. 5 from 12.10.90 ~~and that the applicant may also be granted the scale~~ when he was regularly selected.

2. We have already considered the matter in the case of A.K. Srivastava in O.A. No. 260/91 and distinguished our earlier judgment of Bombay Bench. Accordingly, this application is allowed and this judgment shall form the part of judgment in O.A. No. 260/91. No order as to costs.

Lucknow: Dt.
Shakeel/

[Signature]
A.M.
Dated 3/4/1993

[Signature]
V.C.