

①

**THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
LUCKNOW BENCH**

Review Application No.2/2008
In
Original Application No.144/2007
This the 8th day of May 2008.

HON'BLE MR. M. KANTHAIAH, MEMBER (J)

Vivek Kumar

...Applicant/Revisionist.

By Advocate: Shri Deepak Shukla.

Versus.

1. Union of India through Secretary, Department of Posts, New Delhi.
2. The Chief Post Master General, U.P. Circle, Lucknow.
3. The Chief Post Master General, G.P.O., Lucknow.
4. Circle Relaxation Committee through Chief Post Master General, U.P. Circle, Lucknow.

By Advocate: None.

(Under Circulation)

ORDER

BY HON'BLE MR. M. KANTHAIAH, MEMBER (J)

The applicant has filed this Review Petition under Section-17 of Central Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1987 for reviewing of the order and judgment Dt. 19.12.2007 of this Tribunal on the ground that the Tribunal ^{failed} ~~fails~~ to consider the case of the applicant properly and thus, stated that there was no delay on his part for claiming compassionate appointment.

2. The matter has been ^{taken up} ~~decided~~ under Circulation.
- 2
- ~

2

3. The points for consideration whether the applicant is entitled for review of the orders passed in O.A. No.144/2007 dated 19.12.2007 as prayed for.

4. The admitted facts of the case are that the present applicant is the applicant in OA, who filed the OA to quash the impugned rejection order 23.02.2007 for his appointment on compassionate ground. After exchange of pleadings and after hearing both sides advocates, this Tribunal dismissed the claim of the applicant in its order and judgment Dt. 19.12.2007.

5. Now by way of present petition, the applicant wants to establish his claim for compassionate ground appointment and also to say that this Tribunal did not consider his case properly and thus, find fault in respect of finding of the Tribunal, which are to be considered by way of appeal but not within the scope of review application.

6. By way of review one can seek the review of judgment and order in respect of any typographical mistake, error or calculation mistake but not by way of re-adjudicating the case afresh. Now by way of this review application, the applicant wants to establish that the finding given by the Tribunal are not at all correct, which are to be taken by way of appeal but not within the purview of review and as such, there are no merits in the claim of the applicant for reviewing of the judgment Dt.19.12.2007.

In the result, review application is dismissed. No costs.


(M. KANTHAIAH)
MEMBER (J)
08.05.2008

/ak/