

386/91

27.2.92

SPM & AVH

Mr. Rajendran Nair/Ramakumar th. proxy  
SOGSC through proxy & Ajith Narayanan, ACGSC

Heard. M.P. allowed. Counter affidavit mentioned  
therein will be relevant for this case also. Heard in part.  
List for further hearing on 28.2.92(AN).

27.2.92

28.2.92 (Counsel as mentioned above)

We have heard the arguments of the learned counsel  
for both the parties. In the interest of justice and considering  
that a vital question in all these cases are involved we have admitted  
all the applications and condone the delay if there has been in  
any one of them. In certain cases we are told that representations  
are not been filed. Considering that the issues involved are identical  
we need not delay the matters in this application by going through  
the formality of requiring applicants to file a representation especially  
when identical applications are pending before us.

Accordingly the objection regarding non submission  
of representation is also overruled.

JUDGMENT on 31.3.92.

28.2.92

21.4.92

SPM & AVH

Orders pronounced in open  
court.

21.4.92