

SPM & ND

-1-

4.3.91

(8)

Mr VR Ramachandran Nair for the applicant.

Mr Ajit Narayanan, ACGSC for the respondents.

Heard. Admit.

Respondents to file counter affidavit within 3 weeks by serving copy on the applicant who may file rejoinder, if any, within 1 week thereafter.

List before Division Bench for final hearing on 5.4.91.

Since the selection test for the post of IPO is being held in May, 1991, the question of granting interim relief at this stage does not arise as the case is being listed for final hearing on ~~that date~~ 5.4.91.

4.3.91

SPM & AVH

Mr VR Ramachandran Nair for applicant
Mr VB Unniraj for respondents(proxy)

The learned counsel for the respondents undertakes to file counter affidavit within 10 days with a copy to the applicant, who may file rejoinder, if any, within a week thereafter.

List for final hearing on 22.4.91

5-4-91

NVK & ND

Mr. V R Ramachandran Nair for the applicant
Mr. Ajit Narayanan ACGSC for the respondents

The respondents were given time to file counter affidavit. When the case was taken up today for final hearing the respondents have not filed counter affidavit. They seek more time. Counter affidavit is to be filed within one month with a copy to the applicant who may file rejoinder if any within two weeks thereafter. List for final hearing on 24.6.91.

Copy of the order be given to counsel for the respondents by hand.

22.4.91

5-4-91

(21)

33

1-0. C.M.M.

20th

A 20th
ms. BCP

309

SPM & ND

Mr. V R Ramachandran Nair for the applicant
Mr. Ajith Narayanan for respondents

The learned counsel for the respondents seeks some time to file counter affidavit and undertakes to do so within four weeks with a copy to the learned counsel for the applicant who may file rejoinder if any within two weeks thereafter. List for final hearing on 13.8.91.

[Signature]
24.6.91

13.8.91

SPM&AVH

Mr. Ramachandran Nair-for applicant .
Mr. Ajith Narayanan-ACGSC

The learned counsel for the respondents wishes to file counter affidavit. He may do so within four weeks with a copy to the learned counsel for the applicant who may file rejoinder if any within one week thereafter.

List for final hearing on 7.10.91.

[Signature]
13.8.91

NVK & ND

None for applicant
Mr. Ajit Narayanan for respondents

Respondents have not filed reply. They are given last opportunity to file reply within three weeks with a copy to the learned counsel for the applicant who may file rejoinder if any within one week thereafter. List for final hearing on 12.11.91.

[Signature]
7.10.91

CA 309/91

NVK AND

The learned counsel for the respondents submits that in the O.A.s listed from Sl. No. 14 to 39 in today's cause list which includes the present application they propose to file a common reply. For this they seek 3 weeks time. We grant 3 weeks time to file and two weeks for the applicant to file rejoinder, if any, thereafter on the receipt of the copy of the reply.

Let this case be listed for completion of pleadings before Registrar on 17/12/91.

12/11/91

RC

Both sides present.

Counsel for respondents submits that a joint counter will be filed in all these cases within 3 weeks. Counsel for applicant may file rejoinder within one week. Call on 31.1.92.

17.12.91.

RC

None appears.

No counter has been filed despite several opportunities. Accordingly, list before the Bench for further directions on 2.3.92.

31.1.92

02/12
CNP

Memo not
filed.

3/11
ent

27.2.92

SP.2AM1

30/9)

Mr. Rajendran Nair/Amakumar th. proxy
SIXC through proxy & Ajith Narayanan, ACRC

Heard. M.P. allowed. Counter affidavit mentioned therein will be relevant for this case also. Heard in part. List for further hearing on 28.2.92(94).

27.2.92

28.2.92 (Counsel as mentioned above)

We have heard the arguments of the learned counsel for both the parties. In the interest of justice and considering that a vital question in all these cases are involved we have admitted all the applications and condone the delay if there has been in any one of them. In certain cases we are told that representations are not been filed. Considering that the issues involved are identical we need not delay the matters in this application by going through the formality of requiring applicants to file a representation especially when identical applications are pending before us.

Accordingly the objection regarding non admission of representation is also overruled.

JUDGMENT on 28.2.92.

28.2.92

21-4-92

SPM & A V H

Orders pronounced in open court.

21-4-92

FO ISSUED
FICE C.C. 814
M.H.S.

Final Order
is kept in
OD 814/90.

Common order