

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
ERNAKULAM BENCH  
ERNAKULAM

DATE OF DECISION

12th MARCH, 1990

PRESENT

Hon'ble shri S.P.Mukerji, Vice Chairman  
&  
Hon'ble shri N.Dharmadan, Judicial Member

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 305/89

1. A. Augustin.
2. A. Simon.
3. I. Xavier
4. Leon Periera.
5. S. Balakrishnan
6. M. Mohammed Ushmankhan
7. V. Ramaswamy
8. C. Karunakaran.
9. V. Radhakrishnan
10. Daniel Kiribakaran.
11. V. Murugan.
12. A. Abraham
13. C. Rajendran
14. C. Nazir
15. N. Padmanabhan Pillai
16. S. Gopalakrishnan
17. M. Kandappan.
18. S. Ajayakumar
19. K. Chellappan Nair
20. N. Duraisamy
21. A. Manian
22. P. Ramachandran
23. U. Balakrishnan
24. P. Venugopalan
25. C. Balakrishnan
26. M. K. Velu
27. P. Raghavan
28. C. Pandarinathan.

Applicants

Versus

Govt.

1. Union/ of India represented by  
the General Manager,  
Southern Railway, Madras.
2. The Divisional Railway Manager  
(Personnel),  
Southern Railway DRM's Office,  
Trivandrum-14.
3. The Divisional Railway Manager  
(Personnel)  
Southern Railway DRMS Office,  
Palghat.
4. The Senior Divisional Electrical  
Engineer, Southern Railway,  
DRM's Office, Palghat.
5. The Senior Divisional Electrical  
Engineer,  
Southern Railway, Trivandrum-14.

Respondents

Counsel for the applicants ...Mr.P.K.Madhusoodhanan

Counsel for the respondents ... Mrs.Sumati Dandapani  
Rly.Counsel

O R D E R


(Hon'ble Shri S.P.Mukerji, Vice Chairman)

In this application dated 2nd May, 1989 filed under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act the 28 employees of the Southern Railway have prayed that a direction should be issued to the respondents to disburse<sup>to</sup> them the Overtime Allowance for the period they worked in the Kerala Express, Jayanti Janata Express, Ahmedabad Express, Guwahati Express etc.


2. We have heard the arguments of the learned counsel for both the parties and gone through the documents carefully. This case is identical with Transferred Application No.K.455/87 which was disposed of by the Madras Bench of the Tribunal by the judgment dated 10.2.88 calling upon the respondents to dispose of the<sup>of the applicants therein</sup> claims on the basis of their representation. This was done on the ground<sup>of</sup> that the reply filed on behalf of the respondents therein<sup>to the effect</sup> that on receipt of the representation on behalf of the applicants, arrangements will be made to pay the Overtime Allowance if any. Accordingly we dispose of this application also with the direction to the respondents to consider the claims of the applicants for Overtime Allowance which have already been submitted

by the applicants and on the basis of further representations which the applicants are directed to ~~be~~ <sup>h</sup> made <sup>h</sup> within a period of two weeks from the date of communication of this order. The applicants are directed to submit along with their representation such documents as are available with them to substantiate their claim of Overtime Allowance. <sup>h</sup> The Respondents are directed to dispose of the representations and the pending claims of the applicants within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the representations. Such documents as are available with the respondents pertaining to the <sup>claims of the</sup> applicants are also to be taken into account by the respondents <sup>for</sup> ~~during~~ <sup>h</sup> the disposal of their representations.

3. With the above directions, the application is closed.

  
(N. Dharmadan)  
Judicial Member

12.3.90

  
(S. P. Mukerji)  
Vice Chairman

12-03-1990

Ksn.