

1.3.91  
(4)

SPM & AVH

DA 294/91

Mr. V R Aramachandran Nair for the applicant.

Mr. V. Ajit Narayanan, ACGSC for respondents by proxy  
(Mr. Krishnakumar)

Heard the learned counsel for both the parties.  
Admit.

Learned counsel for the respondents undertakes to file counter affidavit within 3 weeks by serving copy on the applicants who may file rejoinder, if any, within 1 week thereafter.

List for final hearing on 5.4.91.

Since the selection test is being held in May, 1991 the question of granting interim relief does not arise as the case is being listed for final hearing well before that date.

*[Signature]*  
1.3.91

NVC & ND

Mr. V R Aramachandran Nair for the applicant  
Mr. V. Ajit Narayanan ACGSC for respondents by proxy

The respondents have not filed reply yet. They seek more time. Call on 22.4.91 for final hearing if reply is filed before that time. If no reply is filed list for I.R. on 22.4.91.

*[Signature]*  
5/4/91

*no reply  
so for  
1/4/91*

NVC & ND

Mr. V R Aramachandran Nair for the applicant

Mr. V. Ajit Narayanan, ACGSC for respondents

Respondents seek more time to file reply. In any case reply to be filed within one month with a copy to the counsel for the applicant who may file rejoinder if any within two weeks thereafter. List for final hearing on 24.6.91.

Copy of the order be given to counsel for the respondents by hand.

*[Signature]*

*Ar  
22/4  
mr. moe  
I.O. issued on  
24/4/91*

(16)

(13)

29u

SPM & ND

Mr. V R Ramachandran Nair for the applicant  
Mr. Ajith Narayanan ACGSC for respondents

The learned counsel for the respondents seeks some time to file counter affidavit and undertakes to do so within four weeks with a copy to the learned counsel for the applicant who may file rejoinder if any within two weeks thereafter. List for final hearing on 13.8.91.

*h*  
24.6.91 *Sm*

13.8.91

SPM&AVH

Mr. VR Ramachandran Nair-for applicant.  
Mr. Ajith Narayanan-for respondents.

The learned counsel for the respondents wishes to file counter affidavit. He may do so within four weeks with a copy to the learned counsel for the applicant who may file rejoinder if any within one week thereafter.

List for final hearing on 7th October, 1991.

*h* *M* *Sm*  
13.8.91

NVK & ND

~~MRX~~ None for the applicant

Mr. Ajith Narayanan for respondents

Respondents have not filed reply. They are given last opportunity to file reply. Reply is to be filed within three weeks with a copy to the learned counsel for the applicant who may file rejoinder if any within one week thereafter. List for final hearing on 12.11.91.

*h*  
7.10.91

(14)

NVK &ND

The learned counsel for the respondents submits that in the O.A.s listed from Sl. No. 14 to 39 in today's cause list which includes the present application they propose to file a common reply. For this they seek 3 weeks time. We grant 3 weeks time to file and two weeks for the applicant to file rejoinder, if any, thereafter on the receipt of the copy of the reply.

Let this case be listed for completion of pleadings before Registrar on 17/12/91.

EC

12/11/91

Both sides present.

Counsel for respondents submits that a joint counter affidavit will be filed in all these cases within 2 weeks. Counsel for applicant may file rejoinder within one week. Call on 31.1.92.

17.12.91.

EC

None appears.

covered

No counter has been filed despite opportunities. Accordingly list before the Bench for further directions on 2.3.92.

31.1.92.

27.2.92

SPM&AVH

Mr. Rajendran Nair/Ramakumar th.proxy  
Sugunapalan th.proxy/Ajith Narayanan

Heard. M.P. allowed. Counteraffidavit mentioned therein will be relevant for this case also. Heard in part. List for further hearing on 28.2. (AN).

27.2.92

28.2.92 (counsel as above)

We have heard the arguments of the learned counsel for both the parties. In the interest of justice and considering that a vital question in all these cases are involved we have admitted all the applications and condone the delay if there has been in any one of them. In certain cases we are told that representations are not been filed. Considering that the issues involved are identical we need not delay the matters in this application by going through the formality of requiring applicants to file a representation especially when identical applications are pending before us.

Accordingly the objection regarding non-submission of representation is also overruled.

ASH

JUDGMENT ON 31.3.92.

28.2.92

NVK &ND

The learned counsel for the respondents submits that in the O.A.s listed from Sl. No. 14 to 39 in today's cause list which includes the present application they propose to file a common reply. For this they seek 3 weeks time. We grant 3 weeks time to file and two weeks for the applicant to file rejoinder, if any, thereafter on the receipt of the copy of the reply.

for 12/12

CNF

Let this case be listed for completion of pleadings before Registrar on 17/12/91.

*[Signature]*

12/11/91

RC

Both sides present.

31/1  
cmr

Counsel for respondents submits that a joint counter affidavit will be filed in all those cases within 3 weeks. Counsel for applicant may file rejoinder within one week. Call on 31.1.92.

*[Signature]*

17.12.91.

RC

None appeared.

counsel

No counter has been filed despite opportunity.  
Accordingly list before the bench for further directions on 2.3.92.

*[Signature]*

31.1.92.

OA 294/91

27.2.92

SPM&AVH

Mr. Rajendran Nair/Ramakumar th.proxy  
Sugunapalan th.proxy/Ajith Narayanan

Heard. M.P. allowed. Counteraffidavit  
mentioned therein will be relevant for this case

also. Heard in part. List for further hearing on  
28.2. (AN).

27.2.92

28.2.92 (Counsel as above)

We have heard the arguments of the learned  
counsel for both the parties. In the interest of justice  
and considering that a vital question in all these  
cases are involved we have admitted all the applica-  
tions and condone the delay if there has been in any  
one of them. In certain cases we are told that re-  
presentations are not been filed. Considering that  
the issues involved are identical we need not delay  
the matters in this application by going through the  
formality of requiring applicants to file a representation  
especially when identical applications are pending  
before us.

Accordingly the objection regarding non-  
submission of representation is also overruled.

AVH

JUDGMENT ON 31.3.92.

28.2.92

21.4.92

SPM & AVH

Orders pronounced in open court.

21.4.92