

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
ERNAKULAM BENCH

OA No. 264/98

Friday, the 27th day of February 1998

CORAM

HON'BLE MR A.M. SIVADAS, JUDICIAL MEMBER

Cyriac Abraham  
Kampakalumkal  
Chelliyoozhukkam Road  
Kottayam - 1

...Applicant.

(By advocate: Mr K.P. Satheesan)

Versus

1. The Chief General Manager  
Telecom, Kerala Circle  
Thiruvananthapuram.
2. The Divisional Engineer,  
Telegraphs, Mattathara Buildings  
Kottayam.
3. The Sub Divisional Engineer  
Telegraphs  
Changanassery.

...Respondents.

(By advocate: Mr Thomas Mathew Nellimeottil)(Rep)

The application having been heard on 27.2.98, the Tribunal on the same day delivered the following.

O R D E R

HON'BLE MR A.M. SIVADAS, JUDICIAL MEMBER

The applicant seeks to set aside Annexure A-III and also to direct the second respondent to consider and dispose of Annexure A-IV appeal within a specified time limit.

2. When the OA was taken up last time, the learned counsel appearing for the respondents sought time to ascertain whether the Annexure A-IV appeal preferred by the applicant has been disposed of or not. It is now submitted by the learned counsel for the respondents that the Annexure A-IV appeal preferred by the applicant is still pending.

3.. Both sides agree that the OA can be disposed of by directing the second respondent to dispose of Annexure A-IV appeal within a reasonable time.

4. Accordingly, the second respondent is directed to consider and dispose of Annexure A-IV appeal by a speaking order within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. The OA is disposed of as above.

Dated the 27th February 1998.



(A.M. SIVADAS)  
JUDICIAL MEMBER

aa.

LIST OF ANNEXURES

1. Annexure A-III: Order No. X-6/Rule 14/50 dated 30.10.96 issued by 3rd respondent to the applicant.
2. Annexure A IV: Memorandum of Appeal dated 11.12.96 filed by the applicant before the 2nd Respondent.

.....