

केन्द्रीय प्रशासनिक अधिकरण न्यायपीठ में
IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
ERNAKULAM BENCH

आदेश पत्र
ORDER SHEET

आवेदन सं० 19 का 230/2000
ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 230/2000 of 199

आवेदक
Applicant(s) Namela Kishore

प्रत्यर्थी
Respondent(s) UOI, Secretary, M/o Defence
New Delhi and 2 ors

आवेदक (आवेदकों)
की ओर से अधिवक्ता
Advocate for
Applicant(s) Mr. P.V. Mohanan

प्रत्यर्थी (प्रत्यर्थियों)
की ओर से अधिवक्ता
Advocate for
Respondent(s)

रजिस्ट्री के टिप्पण
Notes of the Registry

अधिकरण के आदेश
Orders of the Tribunal

प्रस्तुतीकरण की तारीख : 11.2.2000
Date of Presentation :

1.3.2000
(11)

AVH & GR

C-2

पंजीकरण की तारीख : 29.2.2000
Date of Registration

Mr. PV Mohanan
Mr. TC Krishna

विषय : Qualifying Service
Subject : 9 Pro-Statu Pension

At the request of the learned counsel
for the applicant, adjourned to 7.3.2000.

तैनाती की तारीख : 1-3-2000
Date of posting :

By order

AVH

S.

C.O.

1-3-2000

7.3.2000

Mr. PV Mohanan
None for the respondents
(no memo also).

Heard Orders dictated in open court.

GR/AM

AVH/VC

7.3.2000

S.

FO issued on 14/3/2000

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
ERNAKULAM BENCH

O.A.No.230/2000

Tuesday this the 7th day of March, 2000

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. A.V. HARIDASAN, VICE CHAIRMAN
HON'BLE MR. G. RAMAKRISHNAN, ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER

Nanda Kishore aged 59
S/o G.D.Banadha
Senior Administrative Officer,
Central Institute of Fisheries Technology,
Matsyapuri PO,
Kochi.

...Applicant

(By Advocate Mr. P.V.Mohanan)

vs.

1. Union of India rep. by its
Secretary, Ministry of Defence,
Government of India,
New Delhi.
 2. The Director,
Directorate General of Ordnance Service,
Master General of Ordnance Branch,
Army Headquarters, DHQ PO,
New Delhi.11.
 3. The Secretary,
Indian Council of Agricultural Research,
Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi.
- ...Respondents

(By Advocate: None for the respondents)


The application having been heard on 7.3.2000, the
Tribunal on the same day delivered the following:

O R D E R

HON'BLE MR. A.V. HARIDASAN, VICE CHAIRMAN

When the application came up for admission today,
learned counsel of the applicant states that the
application would likely to fail on technical grounds and
therefore the applicant wishes to withdraw this
application with liberty to seek appropriate relief in a
proper application. Liberty is granted and the
application is dismissed as withdrawn. No costs.

Dated 7th March, 2000


G. RAMAKRISHNAN
ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER


A.V. HARIDASAN
VICE CHAIRMAN

S.