

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
ERNAKULAM BENCH**

O.A.No.213/2013

Thursday, this the 27th day of March, 2014

C O R A M :

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER, JUDICIAL MEMBER

1. A.Sunilkumar
S/o.K.Aravindakshan
Postman, Pattom Palace P.O
Thiruvananthapuram – 695 004
Residing at M.D Nilayam
Kattaikonam P.O
Thiruvananthapuram – 695 584
 2. S.Ambika
D/o.Sivadasan.G
Postman, Alamcode P.O
Residing at Bijith Bhavan
Harihar Nagar
Karikuzhi, Chirayinkeezhu P.O
Thiruvananthapuram
 3. P.Rajan
S/o.Pachan Asari.P
Postal Assistant, Varkala South
Thiruvananthapuram – 695 141
Residing at Raj Vihar, Veeralam
Attingal H.O, Thiruvananthapuram – 695 101
 4. S.Thankamony
W/o.K.Radhakrishnan Nair
Postal Assistant, Attingal H.O
Thiruvananthapuram – 695 101
Residing at T.C 4/2537/1
Puthen Karili, East Pattom
Thiruvananthapuram – 695 004
 5. V.Sreenivasan -
S/o.Velu Chettiar
Sreenilayam, ARA 90
Kudavoor P.O
Thiruvananthapuram – 695 313
- Applicants

(By Advocate Mr.Vishnu S Chempazhanthiyil)

112

Versus

1. Union of India, represented by its
Secretary to Government of India
Department of Posts, Ministry of Communications
New Delhi – 110 001
 2. The Chief Postmaster General
Kerala Circle, Thiruvananthapuram- 695 033
 3. The Senior Superintendent of Post Offices
Thiruvananthapuram North Postal Division
Thiruvananthapuram – 695 001
 4. The Chairperson
Pension Fund Regulatory and Development Authority
1st Floor, ICADR Building
Plot No.6, Vasant Kunj
Institutional Area,
Phase II, New Delhi – 110 070
 5. The Chief Controller (Pension)
Department of Expenditure
Ministry of Finance
Trikoot 2, Bhikaji Cama Place
New Delhi – 110 066
- Respondents

(By Advocate Ms. Deepthi Mary Varghese, ACGSC)

This application having been heard on 27th March, 2014 this Tribunal on the same day delivered the following :-

ORDER

BY HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER, JUDICIAL MEMBER

When this case is taken up for consideration, learned counsel for the applicants submits that the respondents have already granted reliefs sought for and the amount recovered from the applicants have been credited to their respective GPF accounts. However, it is pointed out by the learned counsel that the respondents had granted one increment to all other similarly placed employees. The same benefit has not been granted to the applicants herein. Learned counsel prays that liberty may be reserved in favour of the applicants to pursue the said claim at a later stage, if so advised.



2. The Original Application is disposed of as infructuous with liberty to the applicants to pursue the issue relating to increment at a later stage, if so advised.

3. The Original Application is disposed of in the above terms.



(JUSTICE A.K. BASHEER)
JUDICIAL MEMBER

SV