

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
ERNAKULAM BENCH**

O.A. No.117 OF 2007

Thursday, this the 3rd day of May, 2007

CORAM :

HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE M. RAMACHANDRAN, VICE CHAIRMAN

C.Nalini
Retrenched Casual Labourer
Southern Railway, Palghat Division
Residing at Cheppanthodi
Pallipuram Post
Palghat District:

Applicant

(By Advocate Mr. TCG Swamy)

Versus

1. Union of India represented by the General Manager
Southern Railway
Headquarters Office, Park Town P.O,
Chennai - 3
 2. The Divisional Railway Manager
Southern Railway, Palghat Division
Palghat
 3. The Divisional Personnel Officer
Southern Railway, Palghat Division
Palghat
- Respondents

(By Advocate Mr. Thomas Mathew Nellimoottil)

The application having been heard on 03.05.2007, the Tribunal on the same day delivered the following :

ORDER

HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE M. RAMACHANDRAN, VICE CHAIRMAN

Consequent to the orders passed, claims of the applicant were to be examined for regularisation. Opportunity was offered to her to produce documents and it is presumed that she was also heard. However, by impugned order Annexure A-1 dated 12.01.2007, the claims have been rejected. The complaint of the applicant is that the order is laconic. No

understandable reasons have been given for anybody to assess what was the scope of examination and for what reasons the claims were rejected.

2. Going through the order, it appears that criticism is substantially true. The Standing counsel submits that if this Tribunal is not inclined to accept the orders as presently made available, the concerned authority will pass order afresh, giving the reasons for coming to the conclusions arrived at by the Screening Committee. This, appears to be a correct approach. Consequently, the impugned order is set aside and the concerned respondents are directed to pass fresh orders, whereby full reasons are to be given, as to why the claims have been rejected so that it may be possible for the applicant to take up the matter, if worthwhile. This may be done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

3. The O.A. is disposed of as above. No costs.

Dated, the 3rd May, 2007.



M.RAMACHANDRAN(J)
VICE CHAIRMAN

vs