

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
ERNAKULAM BENCH**

**O.A.No. 554/2005 ]**

**Friday, this the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of July, 2005.**

**CORAM :**

**HON'BLE Mr.K.V.SACHIDANANDAN, JUDICIAL MEMBER  
HON'BLE Mr. N. RAMAKRISHNAN, ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER**

**M.K.John  
Superintendent of Central Excise  
Central Excise Divisional Office, Kollam  
Teejay Bhawan  
Nedumbaikulam  
Kundara, Kollam District : Applicant**

**(By Advocate Mr.T.C.Govindaswamy )**

**Versus**

- 1. Union of India represented by the  
Secretary to the Government of India  
Ministry of Finance  
Department of Revenue  
Central Board of Excise & Customs  
New Delhi.**
- 2. The Principal Commissioner of Central Excise  
I.S.Press Road, Kochi**
- 3. The Commissioner of Central Excise  
Office of the Commissioner of Central Excise  
Trivandrum**
- 4. Deputy Commissioner of Central Excise  
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Central Excise  
Central Excise, Kollam Division  
Kollam**
- 5. The Additional Commissioner (P&V)  
Office of the Commissioner of Central Excise  
Calicut Commissioner, C.R.Building  
Manachira, Calicut : Respondents**

**(By Advocate Mr. P.Parameswaran Nair )**

**The application having been heard on 22.07.2005, the Tribunal on the same day delivered the following :**

L

**ORDER**

**HON'BLE Mr. K.V. SACHIDANANDAN, JUDICIAL MEMBER**

The applicant presently working as Superintendent of Central Excise in the Central Excise Divisional Office at Kollam is aggrieved by Annexure A-1 impugned order dated 23.03.2005 proposing to reduce his pay retrospectively with effect from 01.07.1996. Aggrieved the order, the applicant has filed this Original Application seeking the following reliefs:

2. Mr.T.C.G.Swamy appeared for the applicant and Mr.P.Parameswaran Nair appeared for the respondents.
3. When the matter came up, the learned counsel for applicant submitted that as indicated in Annexure A-1 impugned order he had already filed a representation Annexure A- 5 to the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent which is not yet disposed of.
4. The learned counsel for applicant submitted that he will be satisfied if a direction is given to consider and dispose of the representation within a time frame. The learned counsel for respondent submitted that he has no objection in adopting such a course of action.
5. In the interest of justice, we direct the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent or any other competent authority to consider and dispose of the said or other representation proposed to be made by the applicant within ten days, within a time frame of two months from the receipt of such representation. .
6. The Original Application is disposed of at the admission stage itself. No order as to costs.

Dated, the 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2005.



**N.RAMAKRISHNAN  
ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER**



**K.V.SACHIDANANDAN  
JUDICIAL MEMBER**

vs