

2/6 1/6

श्री.यु.ए. (प्रति), जिला न्यायाधीश, जयपुर वि: मुल्क सिंह

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, JODHPUR.
COPY OF ORDER DATED 04.04.2007. PASSED IN

M.A. No. 56 of 2007 in O.A. no. 280 of 2006
Mr. Aminudeen Vs. Union of India and Others

Date of Order
4.4.2007

Mr. Y.K.Sharma, Advocate, present on behalf of the applicant.



Through this M.A. applicant wants to place on record an order passed by the department in response to an application filed by the applicant under R.T.I. Act, by which the respondents have rejected his prayer and the applicant was advised to file an appeal against the said order. The applicant has preferred an appeal to the competent authority. The competent appellate authority has also rejected the appeal giving the reasons that the information asked for by the applicant cannot be disclosed to him under the provisions of the R.T.I. Act. So now question arises as to whether it is relevant for the purpose of determining the real questions involved in the O.A. On examination of the O.A. we find that the applicant has challenged the selection and appointment of the respondent no.4 and the information denied by the department under R.T.I. Act is not at all relevant for determining the question involved in the O.A. and as such the M.A. seeking amendment of the O.A. is rejected.

Sd/-
[R.R.Bhandari]
Member[A]

Sd/-
[Kuldip Singh]
Vice Chairman

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY
Dated 05.04.2007

अध्यापक (न्यायाधीश) (न्यायाधीश)
जिला न्यायाधीश (Judl.)
केन्द्रीय प्रशासनिक न्यायाधीश
जयपुर न्यायपीठ, जोधपुर
Jodhpur Bench, Jodhpur