

# IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

JODHPUR BENCH, JODHPUR

## ORDER SHEET

APPLICATION NO. 83 OF 99

Applicant(s)

Respondent(s)

Advocate for  
Applicant(s)

Advocate for  
Respondent(s)

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p>20.2.2001</p> <p><i>[Handwritten signature]</i> 20/2/01</p> <p><i>[Handwritten signature]</i> 23.2</p>	<p>Mr. Vijay Mehta, Counsel for the applicant. Mr. S.K. Vyas, Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The applicant had sought the relief of direction to the respondents to objectively consider the candidature of the applicant for the post of EDBPM and give him appointment. The applicant had also prayed seeking a direction to the respondents not to terminate the service of the applicant.</p> <p>However, subsequently the candidature of the applicant was considered and he was not found eligible to be appointed and one Shri Gautam Kumar Pareek was appointed on the post of EDBPM.</p> <p>The applicant had challenged the selection of Shri Gautam Kumar Pareek, by a separate O.A. registered at No. 102 of 1999 which is pending. In view of the challenge of the applicant of selection of Shri Gautam Kumar Pareek, the present O.A. in which the direction <del>ask</del> for consideration of applicant's candidature against the respondents <sup>was sought</sup> has now become infructuous and is consequently dismissed as having become infructuous.</p> <p>The parties are left to bear their own cost.</p> <p><i>[Handwritten signature]</i> ( A.P. NAGRATH ) Adm. Member</p> <p><i>[Handwritten signature]</i> ( A.K. MISRA ) Judl. Member</p> <p>Part II and IM destroyed in my presence on <u>21.3.07</u> under the supervision of Secy. (J) as per order dated <u>19.12.03</u></p> <p><i>[Handwritten signature]</i> Section Officer (Records)</p>