

5

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
CUTTACK BENCH, CUTTACK**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 389 OF 2013
CUTTACK, THIS THE 27TH DAY OF JUNE, 2013

CORAM

HON'BLE SHRI A.K. PATNAIK, MEMBER (JUDL.)

HON'BLE SHRI R.C.MISRA, MEMBER (ADMN.)

.....

Goutam Charan Mallick,
Aged about 38 years,
S/o Late Hrudananda Mallick,
At/PO- Ratnagiri, Dist. Jajpur
At present working as a Casual Worker at Ratnagiri,
Archaeological Survey of India site
(Horticulture Division-IV),
AT/PO- Ratnagiri, Dist. Jajpur, Odisha

.....Applicant

Advocate(s) M/s. B.P.B.Bahali, B.Rout.

VERSUS

Union of India represented through

- 1 The Secretary,
Ministry of Culture, Govt. of India,
Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-110001.
2. Director General,
Archaeological Survey of India,
Janapath, New Delhi -110011.
3. Chief Horticulturist,
Archaeological Survey of India,
Eastern Gate, Taj Mahal,
Agra, 282001, Utter Pradesh.
4. Dy. Superintending Horticulturist,
Archaeological Survey of India, Division-IV,
Satyanagar, Bhubaneswar-07,
Dist-Khurda, Odisha

..... Respondents

Advocate(s)..... Mr. L.Jena.

O R D E R (ORAL)

MR. A.K.PATNAIK, MEMBER (JUDL.):

Heard Mr. B.P.B.Bahali, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, and Mr.
L. Jena, Ld. Addl. Central Govt. Standing Counsel appearing for the
Respondents, on whom a copy of this O.A. has already been served.





2. The applicant has filed this instant O.A. for a direction to Departmental Respondents for grant of 1/30th status to him and extend all other benefits. Mr. Bahali, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, submitted that at present the applicant is working as a Casual Worker at Ratnagiri, Archaeological Survey of India site (Horticulture Division-IV) and although many similarly situated persons like the applicant has been awarded 1/30th status with usual allowances as admissible from time to time with retrospective effect, the case of the applicant has been ignored. Therefore, the applicant has already ventilated his grievance by way of representation addressed to Respondent No.2, i.e. Director General, Archaeological Survey of India, vide representation dated 04.01.2013 but till date no communication has been received from the said Respondent No.2.

3. Mr. L. Jena, Ld. ACGSC, has no immediate instruction regarding status of the said representation.

4. Having heard Ld. Counsel for the parties, without entering into the merits of this case, we dispose of this O.A. at the stage of admission itself by directing Respondent No.2 to consider the representation made by the applicant, if it is still pending, and pass a reasoned and speaking order and communicate the same to the applicant within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of this order. However, if in the meantime, representation has already been considered then the result thereof be communicated to the applicant within two weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

5. Copy of this order, along with paper book be transmitted to Respondent No. 2 at the cost of the applicant, for which Mr. Bahali, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, undertakes to deposit the postal requisites by 01.07.2013.





4

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the O.A. stands disposed of at the stage of admission itself.


MEMBER (Admn.)


MEMBER (Judl.)

RK

