

3  
**P.K.Swain Vrs. UOI**

**Admission Sl. No. 20**

**O.A. No. 260/00379 of 2016**

Order dated: 26.05.2016

CORAM

**HON'BLE SHRI A. K. PATNAIK, MEMBER (JUDL.)**

**HON'BLE SHRI R.C.MISRA, MEMBER (ADMN.)**

.....

Heard Mr. J.M.Patnaik, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, and Mr. A.C.Deo, Ld. Addl. Central Govt. Standing Counsel appearing for the Respondents, on whom a copy of this O.A. has already been served.

Mr. Deo, Ld. ACGSC, submitted that this O.A. cannot be entertained at this stage because no impugned order has been passed against the applicant more so when on the earlier occasion, i.e. on 16.05.2016 in O.A. No. 299/16, this Bench had directed Respondent No.3 to consider the representation dated 06.05.2016 if that is pending and communicate the result thereof by way of reasoned and speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of that order. So also, it was directed to maintain the status quo as on that date so far as continuance of the applicant is concerned for a further period of two weeks from the date of such communication.

We are in agreement with the submission made by Mr. Deo. We do not find any scrap of paper in this O.A. in which the authorities have disposed of the representation of the applicant. We are also very much conscious that our order of status quo is in force for a


*R. Swain*

4

further period of two weeks from the date of communication. This has not been done in this case. In view of this, we are not inclined to admit this O.A. Accordingly, the O.A. is dismissed being infructuous.



MEMBER(Admn.)



MEMBER(Judl.)

RK