

15

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
CUTTACK BENCH: CUTTACK

O.A.No.594 of 2010  
Cuttack, this the 06<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2014

M.C.Babu & Ors .... Applicants

-Versus-

Union of India & Others .... Respondents

FOR INSTRUCTIONS

1. Whether it be referred to the reporters or not?

2. Whether it be referred to PB for circulation?

  
(R.C.MISRA)  
Member (Admn.)

  
(A.K.PATNAIK)  
Member (Judicial)

16

## CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL CUTTACK BENCH, CUTTACK

Original Application No. 594 of 2010  
Cuttack, this the 06<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2014

### CORAM

HON'BLE MR. A.K. PATNAIK, MEMBER (Judl.)  
HON'BLE MR. R. C. MISRA, MEMBER (Admn.)

.....

1. M.Chandi Babu aged about 39 years, Son of M.Rama Das of Raja Bazar, Po.Jatni, Dist. Khurda.
2. Nrusingha Kumar Rath, aged about 43 years, Son of Umesh Chandra Rath of Madhusudan Nagar, Po/Ps.Jatni, Dist. Khurda.
3. Lala Aditya Kumar Ray aged about 46 years, Son of Lala Ramanath Ray of Balichak Sahi, Po/Ps.Jatni, Dist. Khurda.
4. Deepak Kumar Kharat aged about 43 years, Son of Balakrishna Karat, C/o.Lala Aditya Kumar Ray of Balichak Sahi, Po/Ps.Jatni, Dist. Khurda.
5. Mohamad Yusuf aged about 47 years, Son of Md.Husain of Imambada Lane, Raja Bazar, Po./Ps. Jati, Dist. Khurda.
6. K.James Robert aged about 44 years, Son of K.Robert of Gajapati Nagar, Po/Ps.Jatni, Dist. Khurda.
7. Lokanath Pani, aged about 44 years, Son of Dinabandhu Pani of Gualipada, PS.Delang, Dist. Puri.
8. G.V.B.Prasad Rao, aged about 38 years, Son of G.Kanta Rao of Madhusudan Nagar, Po/Ps.Jatni, Dist. Khurda.
9. R.Sai Prasad, aged about 41 years, Son of R.Surya Rao of Madhusudan Nagar, Po/Ps.Jatni, Dist. Khurda.



10. G.Srinivasa Rao, aged about 39 years, So of G.Lakhshmi Narayana of Door No. 14-1-144, Nehru Nagar, Po.Kasibugga, Dist. Srikakulam, A.P.
11. D.Viswanath, aged about 38 years, Son of D.V.Rao, C/o.Naba Sahoo of Bachra Patna Road, Po.Jatni, Dist. Khurda.
12. Behera Venkata Surya Bhagwan, aged about 48 years, Son of Behera Venkateswar Rao Door No. 14-4-44, Kolagatla Vari Street, Vijianagaram, A.P.

...Applicants

(Advocates: M/s.Jagannath Pattnaik, B.Mohanty, B.S.Rayguru, R.P.Roy )

VERSUS

**Union of India Represented through -**

1. The Secretary to the Government of India, Railway Board, Ministry of Railways, Rail Bhavan, New Delhi-110 001.
2. The General Manager, East Coast Railway, Chandrasekharpur, Bhubaneswar, Dist. Khurda, Orissa.
3. The Divisional Railway Manager, Khurda Road Division, Po.Jatni, Dist. Khurda.
4. The Senior Divisional Personnel Officer, Khurda Road Divison, Po.Jatni, Dist. Khurda.

... Respondents

(Advocate: Mr. T.Rath )

.....

**O R D E R**

**A.K.PATNAIK, MEMBER (JUDL.):**

The applicants have filed this OA seeking for a direction to the Respondents to publish the result of the screening test and issue the offer of appointment in favour of the candidates who is/are found to be suitable for such appointment.

*A.K.Patnaik*

2. Applicants' case in nut shell is that their fathers while working in the railway retired from service on reaching the normal age of superannuation on different dates. On 13.08.1990, the South Eastern Railway, Khurda Road invited applications from the children of the Railway employees who had retired on superannuation after 01.01.1987 or would be retiring from service by 31.12.1993, for enrollment of fresh faces substitutes for utilization against day to day casual work. The applicant submitted application along with documents for enrollment as substitute and he was called to appear in an interview which he attended. During the process of selection certain irregularities were committed by the Departmental Authorities and therefore, the final result was not published and the matter was subjected to a vigilance investigation. Finally, the General Manager cancelled the entire process of selection in the year 1999. Some of the aggrieved people approached this Tribunal in O.A. No.520 of 2001 and this Tribunal vide order dated 16.04.2004 directed that the wards of the Railway Employees for enrolment as substitutes may be considered along with outsider candidates. Further, this Tribunal directed that the cases of the applicants who had applied in response to the notification dated 13.08.1990 may be considered as and when they would take action for enrolment of substitutes under their



organization. Against this order of the Tribunal, the Respondents moved the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa by filing W.P (C) No.8814/2004. The Hon'ble High Court of Orissa vide order and judgment dated 17.03.2006 upheld the order passed by this Tribunal. However, the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa modified the order of the Tribunal to the extent that on the availability of vacancies the petitioners (Railways) shall invite applications according to their requirement by making publication in some newspapers having wide circulation. The opposite parties 1 to 20 shall also be allowed to apply therein along with the outsiders, in case, they move applications pursuant to the same mentioning that they were the applicants in respect of the earlier notification dated 13.08.1990. It was further directed by the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa that the case of those who are over aged shall be considered for relaxation. The Railway Authorities in compliance of the order of the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa dated 17.03.2006 have already appointed 16 of the applicants in respect of O.A. No.520/2001. The applicants submitted representations with a prayer for empanelment/appointment in Railway. But the same was replied in a negative manner. In the meantime this Tribunal vide order dated 04.01.2012 was pleased to dispose of similar matter in O.A.



No.611/2009 and directed the Railway Authorities to consider the grievance of the applicant in the light of the decision of the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa passed in W.P.(C) No.8814/2004. Therefore they have approached this Tribunal praying for a relief as aforesaid.


3. A counter has been filed giving detailed history of this case by the Respondents. However, we do not consider it necessary to deal with the entire history of this case. The Respondents have admitted that there was an order of this Tribunal in O.A. N0.520/2001 as mentioned by the applicants. This order was challenged by the Railway Authorities before the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa in W.P.(C) No.8814/2004. The Hon'ble High Court in their judgment dated 17.03.2006 made certain modification of the order of this Tribunal dated 16.04.2004. It is also admitted by the Respondents that in O.A. No.611/09 this Tribunal gave a direction that the applicants therein may make applications to the Respondents enclosing thereto proof in support of submission of application pursuant to the notification dated 13.08.1990 and on receipt of the same the Respondents will do well in the light of the decision of the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of application from the applicants. It may be mentioned here that the applicants in the O.A.



No.611/2009 were similarly placed persons. As against this order the Railway Administration has preferred a Writ Petition bearing No.W.P. (C ) No.15015 of 2012 before the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa which was disposed of on 09.07.2013 by confirming the orders of this Tribunal. After the decision of the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa the Chief Personnel Officer, East Coast Railway, Bhubaneswar considered the case in pursuance of the various Court orders that the applicants and other similarly placed persons may apply in the next Notification for the erstwhile Group 'D' posts to be published by the Railway Recruitment Cell, Bhubaneswar. Their over-age aspect will be considered as one time exemption if they apply duly enclosing attested copy of call letters in support of attending the Screening/Physical Test conducted against the Notification dated 13.08.1990 as well as copy of this speaking order fulfilling other terms & conditions of Employment notification.

4. Heard Mr.B.S.Rayaguru, Learned Counsel appearing for the applicants and Mr.T. Rath, Ld. Standing Counsel appearing for the Respondents/Railways and perused the materials placed on record.

5. Mr. Rath, Ld. Standing Counsel for the Railways submitted that after the orders by this Tribunal were passed and after the decision of the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa was communicated, the Chief



Personnel Officer, East Coast Railway, Bhubaneswar has taken the above decision in compliance to the various orders and he also prayed that the matter may be disposed of in accordance with the decision which has been taken by the Railways in compliance with the orders of the various Courts. The Ld. Counsel for the applicants has confirmed the submissions made by the Ld. Counsel for Railways and has submitted that the applicants, case should be fairly considered in the light of the decision of the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa and also the orders of this Tribunal in similar matter in respect of O.A. No.611/09. It is seen that in the order dated 04.01.2012 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No.611/09 it was directed as follows:-

“In view of the above, by following the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Sub-Inspector Rooplal v. Lt. Governor, (2000) 1 SCC 644, this Original Application is disposed of with liberty to the Applicants to make application before the Respondents enclosing thereto proof in support of submission of application pursuant to the notification dated 13.08.1990 and on receipt of the same the Respondents will do well in the light of the decision of the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa, referred to above, within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of application from the Applicants. There shall be no order as to costs.”

Abes

6. The Hon'ble High Court of Orissa in W.P. (C ) No.8814/2004 directed as follows:-

“In the result, the writ petition is allowed in part. The impugned judgment and order passed by the Tribunal is modified to the extent that on the availability of vacancies the petitioners shall invite applications according to their requirement by making publication in some newspapers having wide circulation. Opposite parties 1 to 20 shall also be allowed to apply therein along with the outsides, in case, they move applications pursuant to the same mentioning that they were applicants had applied for in respect of the earlier notification dated 13.08.1990 inviting applications for the same purpose. The case of those who have become over-age shall be considered for relaxation.”

7. Having considered the rival contentions of the parties and taking into consideration the orders quoted above, this O.A. is disposed of by granting liberty to the Applicants to make application individually to the Respondents enclosing thereto the proof in-support of the submission of application, pursuant to the notification dated 13.08.1990 and on receipt of the same the Respondents are hereby directed to act upon the same keeping in mind the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa in W.P.(C) No.8814/2004 and communicate the result thereof to each of the Applicants within a period of 90(ninety)



days from the date of receipt of such application. There shall be no order  
as to costs.

  
(R.C.MISRA)  
Member (Admn.)

  
(A.K.PATNAIK)  
Member (Judicial)

