

9

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
CUTTACK BENCH, CUTTACK.**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 264 OF 2001**

**Cuttack, this the                      day of June, 2003**

26th

**Sri Surendranath Singh**

.....

**Applicant**

**Vs.**

**Union of India and others**

.....

**Respondents**

**FOR INSTRUCTIONS**

1. Whether it be referred to the Reporters or not? 26th NO
2. Whether it be circulated to all the Benches of the Central  
Administrative Tribunal or not? NO.

  
(B.N.SOM)  
**VICE-CHAIRMAN**

10

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
CUTTACK BENCH, CUTTACK**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.264 OF 2001**

Cuttack, this the 26th day of June, 2003

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE SHRI B.N.SOM, VICE-CHAIRMAN**

Shri Surendranath Singh, aged 42 years, son of Rohidas Singh, At/PO Bidukuda, Via Baisinga Dist. Mayurbhanj

Applicant

**Vs.**

1. Union of India, represented through the Secretary, Ministry of Communication, Department of Posts, Dak Bhavan, Sansad Marg, New Delhi.
  2. The Chief Post Master General, Orissa, At/PO Bhubaneswar, Dist. Khurda.
  3. the director of Postal Services (HQ), At/PO Bhubaneswar, Dist. Khurda.
  4. The Head Post Master, Balasore Head Post Office, At/PO/Dist. Balasore
- Respondents

Advocates for the applicant - M/s A.K. Mohapatra,  
K.N. Panda, M.R. Mishra,  
R.K. Mohanty, S.C. Sahoo,  
S.K. Padhi.

Advocate for the Respondents - Mr. A.K. Bose, Sr. CGSC

**ORDER**

**SHRI B.N.SOM, VICE-CHAIRMAN**

The applicant has filed this Original Application challenging his transfer from Balasore H.O. to Jajpur H.O., being in contravention

2

of the rotational transfer liabilities of SBCO staff, as circulated by the Department of Posts on 2.3.2000.

2. The matter was listed on 23.4.2003 and 7.5.2003. On both the occasions, he did not appear, nor was he represented by anybody. However, I have heard the Respondents through Shri A.K.Bose, the learned Senior Standing Counsel. I have also gone through the counter filed by the Respondents opposing the Application and have also perused the Government orders governing transfer of SBCO staff. The counter affidavit filed by the Respondents is quite exhaustive and has answered all the points raised by the applicant satisfactorily, and most importantly, the Respondents have given pointed rebuttal to the allegation of discrimination made by the applicant. In view of the aforesaid and the fact that the rotational transfer is one of the liabilities of the SBCO staff and that the applicant has enjoyed the same rights and privileges <sup>as others in the matter of transfer & posting</sup> from the Respondents, I see no merit in this Application and dismiss it accordingly. No costs.

  
(B.N.SOM)  
VICE-CHAIRMAN

An/ps