

OA. 392/99.

NOTES OF THE REGISTRY

ORDERS OF THE TRIBUNAL

For hearing
with OA. 394/99,
OA. 395/99, OA. 396/99
and OA. 397/99

12/10/01

Bench

09. 08. 01. 2001

No time. Adjourned to 11. 01. 2001.
with OA. 394/99, OA. 395/99, OA. 396/99
and OA. 397/99.

vice-chairman

Member (J)

10. 11. 01. 2001

OA. 392/99, OA. 394/99, OA. 395/99, OA. 396/99, OA. 397/99

Lawyers have abstained from Court work.
No time for the day.
Adjourned to 19. 02. 2001.

For hearing
with OA. 394/99,
OA. 395/99, OA. 396/99
and OA. 397/99

(Rejoinder filed -
Copy served)

16/2/01

Bench

vice-chairman

Member (J)

11. ORDER DATED 19-2-2001.

Heard Shri B.K. Panda, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Rath, learned Additional Standing Counsel for the Railway Department.

Applicant a gangman challenges the order dated 17-6-1997 of the Disciplinary Authority (Annexure-5) removing him from service on the ground of his unauthorised absence. According to him he was suffering from Hemiplegia and was under the treatment from 4-9-1996 to 11-10-1998 under a Regd. Medical Practitioner (Annexures-1 and 2). Because of the illness, he could not attend his duty nor could send any intimation. Against the order of removal, he preferred Departmental appeal under Annexure-6.

NOTES OF THE REGISTRY

ORDERS OF THE TRIBUNAL

Thereafter he preferred this O.A.

Respondents Department while opposing the application on various grounds, take the stand that the application is not maintainable as no Departmental appeal has been preferred. On the other hand the applicant in his rejoinder reiterated that he preferred the Departmental appeal.

During the hearing learned counsel for the Applicant brought to our notice two other cases of gangmen, who were removed like the applicant from service on the ground of unauthorised absence, preferred appeal and their appeals were duly considered (vide O.A. No. 395/99 and 397/99). He suggested that the applicant should get an opportunity to prefer a Departmental appeal since the Department strongly deny his preferring appeal earlier. In view of the submissions, we dispose of this O.A. with a direction to the applicant to prefer the departmental appeal within a period of 30 days from today and in the event of filing such appeal the Appellate Authority shall dispose of the appeal within a period of 90 days thereafter after relaxing the period of limitation prescribed under the Departmental Rules and intimate the result to the applicant within a period of 15 days thereafter.

In the result, therefore, the Original Application is disposed of with the observations and directions made above. No costs.

(SOMNATH SOM)
VICE-CHAIRMAN

(G. NARASIMHAM)
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

KNM/CM.

Free copies of
final order
dt. 19.2.01 given
to both sides.
S.O (J)
24/2/01