

13. Order dated 16.03.2000.

For Admission

29.3.2000

Bench

Copy of counter has been served on the Id. counsel for the applicant who does not want to file rejoinder. A memo has been filed by Id. counsel for the applicant to fix an early hearing of the matter. In view of this dated to 30.03.2000 for hearing and final disposal at the stage of admission. Memo filed is accordingly disposed of.

V. P. M.
16/3/2000 Chairman

14. ORDER DATED 30.3.2000.

Heard Shri Routray, learned counsel for the applicant and Mr. U. B. Mohapatra, learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the Respondents. Applicant who is a Driver, in this application filed on 16.9.1998 challenges the order dated 3.4.1998 and 20.4.1998 passed by the authorities working under Prasar Bharati (Broadcasting Corporation of India). Hence question for consideration is whether this Tribunal has any jurisdiction to issue orders or direction on the Corporation for which no notification has been issued by the Union Government.

NOTES OF THE REGISTRY

ORDERS OF THE TRIBUNAL

Order dt. 30-3-2000

Copy of Order
may be given to
both the counsels

4.4.2000

Prasarp
4.4.2000
S.P. (J)

In B.ASHOKA AND ORS VRS. SHRI K.M.ANEES UI
HAQ AND ORS. Reported in 1999(2) AISLJ (CAT) 53,
Division Bench of the Bangalore CAT, held that
once a Govt. department converted into Corporation
ceases to be within jurisdiction of CAT unless
notification is issued. U/s.16(d) of Prasar Bharati
(Broadcasting Corporation of India) Act, 1990
came into force w.e.f. 23.11.1997 i.e. much prior
to the filing of this O.A. and passing of the impugned
orders. We agree with the reasonings advanced by
the Bangalore Bench of the CAT and hold that this
Bench has no jurisdiction to hear this application
on merits.

At this stage, as prayed by learned counsel
for the applicant, the applicant is permitted to
withdraw this application to be filed if necessary
before the competent Court of jurisdiction. OA
is accordingly disposed of.

vice-Chairman

Member(Judicial)