

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

CUTTACK BENCH, CUTTACK

O.A./I.A./B.A.No. 829 1996

Laxmidhar Behera Applicant (s)

Versus Union of India Respondent (s)

Sr. No	Date	Orders	Office note as to action (if any) taken on order
1.	19.11.96.	<p>Heard Sri S.K.Mohapatra, counsel for the applicant and Sri Akhaya Kumar Mishra, Addl.Standing Counsel for the respondents. The applicant in this O.A. prays for a direction to the respondents to appoint him in the post of E.D.D.A. in his favour. The applicant was initially appointed as E.D.D.A. by the verbal orders in the month of August, 1987. He was given a written order of appointment on 13.3.1988. He was relieved within a month on 30.3.1988. He has been submitting representations thereafter. He came to know that recently a post of E.D.D.A. is lying vacant in Odgaon Sub-Post office. He sent an application for the said post but it was returned with the observation vide Annexure-6</p>	<p>280 fee Rs 50/- 10/11/96</p> <p>12/11/96</p> <p>For Regn. please - 18.11.96</p> <p>18/11/96</p> <p>Registration for</p> <p>For Admn. 210</p> <p>18/11/96</p> <p>Bench</p>

(C)

Serial No. of Order	Date of Order	Order with Signature O.A.No.829/96	Office note as to action (if any) taken on order
1 dt.19.11.96 continued.		<p>- 2 -</p> <p>that there is no such provision to absorb him in the aforesaid post on the basis of his application. There is a prescribed procedure for inviting applications and considering the same for appointment. If such procedure is initiated either by calling the names from the Employment Exchange offices or by an open notification and if the applicant satisfies all the requirements and qualifications and makes an application for consideration of his candidature and his application in fact has not been considered, then he can approach this Court As it is there is no cause of action. With this observation, the O.A. is dismissed.</p>	

DJ.

Manas Kumar
Member (Admn.)

19/11/96

Received copy of order
22/11/96

Copy received
21/11/96