

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

CUTTACK BENCH, CUTTACK

O.A./T.A./R.A.No. 63 1996

Dayanidhi Nayak Applicant (s)

Versus

Uasin of India Respondent (s)

Sr. No	Date	Orders	Office note as to action (if any) taken on order
1	25.1.96	<p>Register</p> <p>Heard. Admitted. Notice to respondents returnable in four weeks.</p> <p>It is directed that Shri Ashok Mohanty, learned Sr. Standing Counsel may obtain instructions on the point regarding superannuation of the petitioner, and apprise this Tribunal of the exact position on 31.1.1996.</p>	<p>2. P.O. of 15/01/96 is filed.</p>
2	31.1.96	<p>MEMBER (ADMINISTRATIVE)</p> <p>It is submitted by Shri Ashok Mohanty, learned Sr. Standing Counsel that orders have since been issued on 30.1.1996 cancelling the impugned orders. Shri A.K. Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner has confirmed receipt of these orders and is satisfied with the action taken. No grievance therefore, subsists in this case. The Original Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>MEMBER (ADMINISTRATIVE)</p>	<p>In this application up to 19.01.96 the applicant has challenged one memorandum wherein the applicant has been asked to retire at the age of 58 yrs in spot of 60 years.</p> <p>For Registration.</p> <p>23.01.96</p> <p>For Admission & Stay.</p>