

(A)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

O.A./~~I.A.~~/~~B.A.~~ No. .... 503 ..... 199

## Versus

Sr. No.

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Order with Signature

REGISTER

B. Sat 16.7.96  
Registrar

1. P.O. for Rs 50/-  
has been fwd.

1612196

1 18.7.96

Adjourned to 19.7.1996 at the request  
of petitioner's counsel.

Parasimhe,  
MEMBER (ADMINISTRATIVE)

For Regn b1

16/7/96

2. 19. 7. 96

Heard Shri R.K. Pattnaik, learned  
counsel for the applicant. He challenges the  
order No.55/96 passed by the Senior D.P.O.,  
South Eastern Railway, Khurda Road on  
19.1.1996.

Registrar (on leave)

~~DR I/c~~

D. Sin 10.7.96

I have heard the learned counsel for the applicant . Prima facie , there is no merit in this application even for admission. However, the counsel submits that there is a representation against the order of transfer to the Senior Divisional Engineer, Khurda Road dated 28.6.96. In the representation, there is no mention of the authorities to whom the representation has been submitted. However, at para 4(h) of the petition , there is a mention of this authority. I take it then that this representation

Serial  
No. of  
OrderDate of  
Order

Order with Signature

is pending before the Senior Divisional Engineer and the said Senior Divisional Engineer is not made as Respondent in this petition. Application is dismissed in limine.

later on ..

The learned counsel for the applicant Shri R.K. Pattnaik, ~~learned counsel for the applicant~~ wants to withdraw the application. Permission is granted. petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

*Varasundhar*  
Member (Admn.)

Order no. 2, Dt. 17.7.96

A copy of Order may be given to both the counsels and to govt. to all O.P. by post forthwith.

*Adl*  
26/7/96

*Adl*  
26.07.96  
50(5)