

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
CUTTACK BENCH CUTTACK

Original Application No.128/1994

Date of Decision: 17.3.1994

Dwarikanath Muduli

Applicant(s)

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondent(s)

(FOR INSTRUCTIONS)

1. Whether it be referred to reporters or not ? *NO*
2. Whether it be circulated to all the Benches of the *NO*
Central Administrative Tribunals or not ?

by 12.3.94
VICE-CHAIRMAN

(3)

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
CUTTACK BENCH CUTTACK

Original Application No. 128 of 1994

Date of Decision: 17.3.1994

Dwarikanath Muduli

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

For the applicant

M/s.B.N.Nayak
A.K.Dora,
Advocates


For the respondents

Mr.U.B.Mohapatra,
Standing Counsel
(Central)

C O R A M:

THE HONOURABLE MR.K.P. ACHARYA, VICE - CHAIRMAN

JUDGMENT



MR.K.P.ACHARYA, VICE-CHAIRMAN: The petitioner was working as a Casual labourer in the Office of the Deputy Superintending Archaeological Chemistry, Bhubaneswar. Admittedly the petitioner has not worked for a very long time. Therefore, OP No.3 was perfectly justified in not entrusting any work to the petitioner as a casual labourer. But now the petitioner has ^{ar}rouse from his slumber praying before this Bench to give a direction to OP No.3 to give ^{him}some work on casual basis. I did not think it worthwhile to keep this matter unnecessarily pending. Therefore, after hearing learned counsel for the petitioner Mr.B.Nayak and Mr.U.B.Mohapatra, learned Standing Counsel, I would recommend the case of the petitioner to O.P. No.3 to take a sympathetic view over the petitioner, especially in these hard days when people are running from post to ^{KN}

(A)

iii

6

2

pillar for a morsel^{of} food. I hope and trust OP No.3 will make all endeavour to give the petitioner some work on casual basis if available. Thus the application is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

B.K. Sahoo
17-3-94
VICE-CHAIRMAN

Central Administrative Tribunal
Cuttack Bench Cuttack
dated the 17.3.1994/ B.K.Sahoo

