

Mrs. Devano
Deputy
R.M. Mack,
B.S. Tripathy,
P. Pouda

18

'A'

CAT / J / II

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

CUTTACK BENCH, CUTTACK

Arising out of
O.A. - 50/89

Contempt Petition

(Civil)

O.A./T.A./R.A.No..... 34 1991

..... Nikh. Dantik Applicant(s)

Versus

..... *Union of India* Respondent(s)

Sr. No.	Date	Order with Signature
1.	23.12.91	<p>Division Bench by its judgment dated 14th January, 1991 passed in O.A. 50/1989 has directed payment of wages to the Petitioner on prorata basis at the rate of the emoluments payable to a typist and who has further held that the Petitioner is entitled to weekly off as that of a regular employee. On this point there has been a serious dispute between the Petitioner and the Opposite Parties as to whether the Petitioner would be entitled to remuneration only on Saturday or both on Saturday and Sunday. My learned brother Mr. Sengupta has also made certain observation as Single Judge vide his order dated 24th October, 1991. As a Single Judge I am bound by the judgment of the Division Bench and hence the dispute which has arisen between the parties could be settled only by the Division Bench and therefore, the matter may be put up before the next Division Bench. Registry to put up this matter for admission on the next date when the Division Bench functions.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>kn</i> Vice-Chairman</p>

(19)

'A'

C.P. (C) 34/91

Serial
No. of
OrderDate of
Order

Order with Signature

2 29.4.92

In the presence of Mr. Ganeswar Ralli, learned
standing Counsel, Mr. R.D. Datta, learned Counsel
for the petitioners do not press the contempt
proceeding. Hence disposed of accordingly.

Vice-Chairman

Member (A)