

97

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
CUTTACK BENCH: CUTTACK

Original Application No. 75 of 1989

Date of Decision : April, 11, 1989

Shri Bishnu Mohan Dash, aged about 35 years,
son of late Somanath Dash, Serving as Assistant
Cook/Bearer and Grinder, Cuttack G.P.O. Departmental
D Type Canteen, Cuttack G.P.O./At/P.O. Cuttack G.P.O.
P.S. Canteenment, Dist/Town-Cuttack.

.... Applicant

-Versus-

1. Union of India, represented through its Secretary
Post, Dak Bhawan, Sansanda Marg, New Delhi-110001.
2. Senior Postmaster, -cum-Chairman, Cuttack G.P.O.
Departmental 'D' Type Canteen, At/P.O. Cuttack G.P.O.
Cuttack.
3. Monorary Secretary, Cuttack G.P.O. Departmental
Canteen, Cuttack G.P.O. Cuttack.
4. Postmaster General, Orissa, Bhubaneswar
5. Director, Canteen, Government of India
Ministry of Personnel Public Grievance
and Pensions (Department of Personnel)
and Training, New Delhi. Respondents

For the Applicant. M/s. S.K. Mohanty-1
S.P. Mohanty, Advocates

For the Respondents Mr. A.B. Misra
Senior Standing Counsel (Central)

C O R A M :

THE HON'BLE MR. B. R. PATEL, VICE-CHAIRMAN

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. K. P. ACHARYA, MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to
see the judgment ? Yes
 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ? ~~No~~
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy
of the judgment ? Yes.
-

: - J U D G M E N T : -

K.P.ACHARYA, MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

In this application under section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, the applicant prays for a direction to the respondents to communicate the fact of regularisation of services of the applicant and to direct the respondents to pay 100% of his salary and furthermore, to direct the respondents not to take any steps for removing the applicant without following the due procedure of law.

2. Shortly stated, the case of the applicant is that he is an Assistant Cook, Bearer and Grinder of the Postal Departmental canteen of Cuttack General Post office and it is maintained by the applicant that on 10th December, 1988 the canteen of which the applicant was ^{Cook} Asst. ~~Asst.~~ was closed by the order passed by the Competent authority and being aggrieved by such order the applicant has come with this application and with the aforesaid prayer.

3. In their Counter, the respondents maintained that the case being devoid of merit is liable to be dismissed in limine because the canteen is managed by a committee constituted under the Departmental Canteen Employees (Recruitment and Condition of service) Rules, 1980 and therefore the closure of the canteen or termination of the services of the applicant has nothing to do with the authorities of the Postal Department i.e. the Postmaster General and others. In such circumstances, relief sought by the applicant cannot be granted and should not be granted in his favour.

4. We have heard Mr.S.K.Mohanty-1, learned Counsel for the applicant and Mr.A.B.Misra, learned Senior Standing Counsel (Centfal) at some length.Mr.Misra strenuously pressed before us that closure of the canteen is illegal and the relevant procedure not having been followed,the order passed by the Competent authority closing the canteen should be set aside and the applicant should be allowed to continue in service.So far as the closure of the canteen is concerned,we have no powers to say that the canteen should function.The reasons for the same have been explicitly stated in Annexure-R/1.Ther in it is found that a general body of the staff ofCuttack General Post Office was convened on 9.12.88.In pursuance to the decision taken by the Managing Body and the affairs of the canteen and management were discussed indetail.The members of the said managing body stated that they do not like to take tiffin and meals inthe canteen due to the increase of the rates of various food stuff by the Manager and the members also opined that despite repeated efforts made by the management the quality ofthe food stuff could not be improved in comparison to the food stuff available in the open market.It was therefore unanimously decided by the staff of the General Post Office, Cuttack toclose down the canteen.In such circumstances,we cannot persuade ourselves to infringeour jurisdiction and direct that the canteen should continue.

5. As regards the services of the applicant a reference should be made to Rule 27 of the Departmental Canteen Employees (Recruitment and Conditions of Service) Rules, 1980 which runs thus :

- " (1) The members of the Service employed in a canteen, which is decided to be closed for administrative reasons by the office or establishment concerned, shall be deemed to have been retrenched from service with effect from the date of closure of such canteen. "

From the above quoted provision it cannot but be said that by virtue of closure of the canteen the services of its employees in the canteen are deemed to have been retrenched. In other words, they automatically vacate. In such circumstances, we also cannot direct their continuance of service in the canteen.

6. Due to the aforesaid reasons, we find no merit in this case. Therefore, the above mentioned prayers of the applicant cannot be allowed.

7. Before we part with this case, we may say that it is unfortunate that due to certain unforeseen circumstances, the services of the applicant have automatically come to an end. Mr. S.K. Mohanty submitted before us that the Postmaster General should be requested to adjust the applicant against any other suitable posts in the Postal Department. We therefore, request the Postmaster General, Orissa Circle, Bhubaneswar to take a compassionate view in the matter especially in these hard days to throw out a man to the open street and deprive him of his sustenance of livelihood, would be a hardship. We hope the Postmaster General, Orissa Circle, Bhubaneswar would make an effort to appoint the applicant in a suitable post as

early as possible subject to availability of post and suitability of the applicant keeping in view the qualification and experience gained by the applicant in the canteen.

8. Thus, this application is accordingly disposed of leaving the parties to bear their own costs.

h. g. ...
..... 11.4.89
Member (Judicial)

B.R.PATEL, VICE-CHAIRMAN,

I agree.



Central Administrative Tribunal,
Cuttack Bench, Cuttack.
April 11, 1989/Saranghi.

...
..... 4.4.89
Vice-Chairman