

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

AHMEDABAD BENCH

C.A. 31/94

in

O.A. NO. /616/93

~~XXXXX~~DATE OF DECISION 23rd June, 1994Shri I.I.Saiyed PetitionerMr.M.S.Trivedi Advocate for the Petitioner (s)

Versus

Union of India & others RespondentMr.N.S.Shevde Advocate for the Respondent (s)

CORAM

The Hon'ble Mr. N.B.Patel : Vice Chairman

The Hon'ble Mr.K.Ramamoorthy : Member (A)

JUDGMENT

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment ?
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ?

No.

: 2 :

Shri I.I.Saiyed
Substitute, P.P.Acting
Western Railway,
Ahmedabad.

: Applicant

(Advocate: Mr.M.S.Trivedi)

Versus

1. Union of India
Through:
Shri G.Shreedharan or his
Successor,
The General Manager,
Western Railway, Churchgate,
Bombay.
2. Shri S.K.Vrij,
Divisional Railway Manager,
O/o, D.R.M.Pratapnagar,
Baroda.
4. Shri Subash Bharwadaj,
Station Superintendent,
O/o S.S.Western Railway,
Ahmedabad.

: Respondents

(Advocate: Mr.N.S.Shevde)

ORAL ORDER

IN

C.A./31/94 in OA/616/93

Date:23/6/1994

Per: Hon'ble Mr.N.B.Patel

: Vice Chairman

Heard Mr.M.S.Trivedi and Mr.N.S.Shevde. Annexure R/1 shows that, the DRM(E) BRC has taken decision on the representation of the applicant as directed by the Tribunal by its judgment dated 21/12/1993, though perhaps not within the stipulated period. There is, therefore, no question of contempt of the Tribunal. If the applicant is not satisfied with the decision taken on his representation, he may ^{as may be} pursue such remedy available to him in that behalf legally. C.A. stands disposed of accordingly.

(K.Ramamoorthy)
Member (A)

(N.B.Patel)
Vice Chairman