

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
AHMEDABAD BENCH

O.A. No. /7/93
T.A. No.

DATE OF DECISION 8/6/93

R.P.Tiwari

Petitioner

Mr.D.V.Mehta

Advocate for the Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India & others

Respondent

Mr.Akil Kureshi

Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Mr. R.C.Bhatt

: Judicial Member

The Hon'ble Mr. M.R.Kolhatkar

: Admn. Member

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement ? ✓
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ? ✗
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement ? ✗
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ? ✗

...2...

R.P.Tiwari,
Night Watchman,
office of Official Liquidator,
High Court of Gujarat,
Ahmedabad.

.....applicant

Advocate Mr.D.V.Mehta

versus

1. Official Liquidator,
Office of Official Liquidator,
High Court of Gujarat,
Ahmedabad.
2. The Regional Director,
Western Region,
'Everest',
100, Marine Lines,
Fort,
Bombay-400 002
3. Union of India (notice to be
served through
The Secretary,
Govt. of India,
Ministry of Industry,
Shastri Bhavan,
R.P.Road,
New Delhi.

....respondents.

Advocate Mr.Akil Kureshi

ORAL ORDER

O.A./7/93

Date : 8/6/1993

Per : Hon'ble Mr.R.C.Bhatt,
Judicial Member

Heard the learned advocates. Mr.D.V.
Mehta for the applicant and Mr.Akil Kureshi for the
respondents.

2. The applicant, a daily rated night watchman serving in the office of the respondent no.1 has filed this application, under section 19 of the Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985. praying that his service be regularised for all purposes from the date of his appointment i.e. 15th July, 1985. The notice was issued to the respondents to file reply on admission. The respondents have filed reply. Perusing the record of this matter, we are of the view that this matter can be disposed of finally by giving suitable directions to the respondents.

3. The applicant has produced at Ann.A/3, representation made by him dated 22nd November, 1991 to the respondent no.2 for regularisation of his service. ~~xxxx~~ The respondents in their reply in ~~para~~ para-4 & 8 have contended that the applicant's representation dated 22nd November, 1991 is yet to be finally decided. The learned advocate for the respondents submits that the respondents will dispose of the applicant's representation according to the rules. Learned advocate for the applicant submitted that the respondents should not terminate the applicant's ~~and~~ service during the period till the representation is disposed of. Hence, we dispose of this application as under :-

res

4.

ORDER

The respondent no.2 is directed to dispose of the representation of the applicant dated 22nd November, 1991 vide Ann.A/3 within 3 months from the receipt of this order of this Tribunal, according to the rules. The respondents should not in meanwhile take any steps to terminate the service of the applicant. The applicant at liberty to file petition before this Tribunal, if in case, he is aggrieved by the ultimate decision of the respondents on the representation made by him. The application is disposed of. No order as to costs.

M.R. Kolhatkar
(M.R.Kolhatkar)
Member(A)

R.C. Bhatt
(R.C.Bhatt)
Member(J)

SSA

*SS

M.A. 135/94 in O.A. 7/93

16-3-1994

Heard. M.A. allowed. Time to dispose of the original applicant's representation extended till 30-4-1994. M.A. stands disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

(K. Ramamoorthy)
Member (A)

(N.B. Patel)
Vice Chairman.

*AS.

O.A.7/1993

Date

Office Report

Order

6/10/93

M.A.515/93

Learned advocates are present.

This M.A. is filed by the original respondents for extension of time to comply with our order passed in O.A.7/93. Time is granted upto 6/12/93. M.A. is disposed of.

M.R. Kolhatkar

(M.R. KOLHATKAR)

Member (A)

R.C. Bhatt

(R.C. BHATT)

Member (J)

ssh

others
As the Learned Member of
the Bench is not available,
the matter is adjourned
to 16/3/94

R
K. RAMAMOORTHY
MEMBER (A)

7-3-94

AHMEDABAD BENCH

Application No. 0917/93 of 19

Transfer Application No. _____ Old w.Pett.No

CERTIFICATE

Certified that no further action is required
to be taken and the case is fit for consignment to the
Record Room (Decided).

Dated: 17/06/93.

Countersigned : R. S. Christian.

Signature of the
Dealing Assistant.

CPH/for
Section officer/Court officer.

INDEX SHEET

NAMES OF THE PARTIES R. P. Tiwari

Vol. 7. 8 078.

[illegible]