

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, DELHI

O.A No. 652/93
T.A. No.

198

DATE OF DECISION 23-3-1994

Mr. Vyas H. Chandulal Petitioner

Mr. P.H. Pathak Advocate for the Petitioner (s)

Versus

Union of India and Others Respondent

Mr. Akil Kureshi Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM .

The Hon'ble Mr. N.B. Patel Vice Chairman.

The Hon'ble Mr. K. Ramamoorthy Member (A)

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment ?
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ?

No

Vyas Hiteshkumar Chandulal,

Ashish Society,

At Post: Santhali,

Ta. Jasdan, Dist.: Rajkot

Applicant

Advocate

Mr.P.H.Pathak

versus

1. Union of India, notice
to be served through
Chief Postmaster General,
Khanpur,
Ahmedabad.

2. Superintendent of Post Office,
Gondal Division,
Gondal.

Respondents

Advocate

Mr.Akil Kureshi

ORAL JUDGMENT

O.A.652 of 1993


Date : 23-3-94


Per Hon'ble Shri .N.B.Patel

Vice Chairman

The reply discloses that the applicant's appointment was purely ad hoc till a regularly selected person was available. It is also clear that regular selection process was held and at the said process the applicant was also

and
considered he was not selected but somebody else
was is selected. The applicant has, ~~therefore~~, no case.
O.A. rejected. No order as to costs.


(K. Ramamoorthy)
Member (A)


(N. B. Patel)
Vice Chairman

AS