

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

AHMEDABAD BENCH

O.A.NO. 413 OF 1993

~~T.A.NO.~~

DATE OF DECISION 1.7.1998

J.B. Sayed & Ors.

Petitioner s

Mr. P.K. Handa

Advocate for the Petitioner [s]

Versus

The Union of India & Ors.

Respondent s

Mr.N.S. Shevde for R-1 to R-3.

Advocate for the Respondent [s]

Mr. G.I.Desai for R-4 to R-6.

CORAM

The Hon'ble Mr. V. Ramakrishnan, vice Chairman.

The Hon'ble Mr. P.C. Kannan, Judicial Member.

JUDGMENT

- 1, Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment ? *no*
- 2, To be referred to the Reporter or not ? *no*
- 3, Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment ?
- bn* 4, Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ? *no*

1. J.B. Sayed
2. Mrs. V.K. Korde,
Darshan Apartment,
Jambugate, Dandia Bazar,
Baroda - 1.

..... Applicants.

(Advocate: Mr. P.K. Handa)

Versus

1. The Union of India,
Ministry of Railways, through
Chairman, Railway Board,
Rail Bhavan,
New Delhi.
2. The General Manager,
Western Railway,
Churchgate, Bombay.
3. The Divisional Railway Manager (E)
Vadodara Division,
Western Railway,
Pratapnagar, Vadodara-4.
4. Mrs. U.N. Parmar, OS in
E/SC/ST sale DRM Office,
Western Railway, Baroda.
5. Shri M.D. Gohil
OS in ED/Ng/section DRM Office
Western Railway, Baroda.
6. Mrs. I.M. Patel
OS in E/Electrical
DRM Office Western Railway
Baroda.

.... Respondents.

(Advocates: Mr.N.S.Shevde for R-1 to R-3
and Mr.G.I.Desai for R-4 to R-6)

ORAL ORDER

O.A.No. 413/1993

Date: 1.7.1998.

Per: Hon'ble Mr. V. Ramakrishnan, Vice Chairman.

We have heard Mr. Handa for the applicant,
Mr. Shevde for the official respondents and Mr.G.I.Desai
for the private respondents at some length. Mr.Handa
now says that the applicants would like to submit a

detailed representation to the Railway Administration ~~bringing out~~ ^{bringing out} regarding their grievance, inter alia the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in such matters as reflected in R.K. Sabharwal and Ajit Singh Junaja's case etc. and seeks leave to withdraw the present O.A. He also shows us a copy of the Railway Board circular dated 15.5.98 addressed to all General Managers regarding principles for determining the seniority of staff belonging to SC/ST promoted earlier vis-a-vis, General/OBC staff promoted later and also an advance correction slip No.44 enclosed with that letter. A copy of this letter is taken on record. We note that the Railways had reviewed the matter in the light of the various judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and expect that the Railway Board should scrupulously follow the principles as enunciated by the Supreme Court in such matters and take whatever step is called for regarding preparation/modification of the seniority list which may be done pursuant to the Supreme Court decisions.

2. With the above observation, we grant leave to Mr. Handa to withdraw the present O.A. The O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn. No costs.

P.C. Kannan

(P.C. Kannan)
Member(J)

V. Ramakrishnan

(V. Ramakrishnan)
Vice Chairman

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, DISTRICT II

Application No. OA/413/83

of 19

Transfer Application No. _____

Old Writ. Pet. No.

CERTIFICATE

Certified that no further action is required to be taken and the case is fit for consignment to the Record Room (Decided)

Dated:

20/7/1998

Countersigned.

S. 29/7.
Section Officer/Court Officer.

K. B. Kulkarni
Signature of the Dealing
Assistant

CAUSE TITLE 0A/413/88

NAME OF THE PARTIES Mr. J-B Sayed & Ans.

VERSUS

Union of Qualis Sets

SR. NO	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENTS	PAGE
①	OA	21
②	Reply	22 to 28
③	Reply	29 to 46
④	Rejoinder	47 to 63
⑤	Rejoinder	64 to 70
⑥	MA/13/84	71 to 78
⑦	Written Statement	79 to 84
⑧	Reply	85 to 88
⑨	MA/16/86	89 to 93
	Oral order dated 1/2/88	