

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
AHMEDABAD BENCH

140

B

O.A. No. 41/93 to O.A./27/93
Ex No. O.A./27/93 to O.A./33/93 and
O.A./43/93 and O.A./44/93

DATE OF DECISION 12-3-1993

Shri P.G.Patel & others Petitioner

Mr.M.S.Trivedi Advocate for the Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India & others Respondent

Mr.Akil Kureishi Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Mr. N.B.Patel : Vice Chairman

The Hon'ble Mr. V.Radhakrishnan : Admn. Member

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement ?
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ?

N.W

1. Shri P.G.Patel
2. Shri D.M.Patel
3. Shri B.S.Baria
4. Shri A.M.Patel
5. Shri M.S.Patel
6. Shri R.B.Zala
7. Shri J.N.Patel
8. Shri A.V.Baria
9. Shri C.N.Patel

All are the Casual Labourers,

S.D.O.P.,

Nadiad .

.....applicants

(Advocate : Mr.M.S.Trivedi)

versus

1. Union of India through
The Secretary,
Ministry of Telecommunication,
Sanchar Bhavan,
New Delhi.

2. Telecommunication District Manager,
Office of the Telecommunication,
District Manager,
Nadiad.

3. Sub Divisional Officer,
Office of the Sub Divisional Officer,
Telegraphs,
Nadiad.

....respondents

(Advocate : Mr. Akil Kureshi)

ORAL ORDER

O.A./11/93 to O.A./27/93

O.A./27/93 to O.A./33/93 and

O.A./43/93 and O.A./44/93

Date : 12-3-1993

Per : Hon'ble Mr.N.B.Patel,

Vice Chairman

Mr.M.S.Trivedi, learned advocate for
the applicant.

Mr.Akil Kureshi, learned advocate for
the respondents.

Mr.Trivedi states that all the applicants
have made representations on or about 5th January, '93.
Even if some applicants have not made represen-
tations, they may now make it within a period
of 2 weeks hereof. All the representations
received, and , to be received, by the department
may be treated as having been received within
time. The representations may be considered and
decided as expeditiously as possible. The decisions
taken on the representations made by the
applicants may be communicated to them in
writing and in case of any decision to terminate

the services of any applicant or applicants, such decisions may not be implemented for a period of 10 days, after communication thereof to the concerned applicant(s). If any of the applicants feels aggrieved by the decision taken on his representation, he will be at liberty to move the Tribunal for revival of his application by filing Miscellaneous Application. This Original Application is disposed of as above.

In view of this order passed in O.A., The M.A. does not survive and stands disposed of accordingly.


(V.RADHAKRISHNAN)

Admn. Member


(N.B.PATEL)

Vice Chairman

*SS