

**IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**  
**AHMEDABAD BENCH**

O.A. No. 203/93  
T.A. No.

DATE OF DECISION 5-5-1993

Shri Amarshibahi Khengar Petitioner

Shri P.H. Pathak Advocate for the Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and others Respondent

Shri Akil Kureshi Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Mr.

N.B. Patel

Vice Chairman

The Hon'ble Mr.

V. Radhakrishnan

Member (A)

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement ?
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ?

No.

Amarshibhai Khengarbahi  
at post Dhanada.  
Taluka - Hadvad.  
Dist - Surendranagar.

Applicant.

Advocate Shri P.H. Pathak

Versus

1. Union of India  
Notice to be served through  
General Manager  
Telecommunications Deptt.  
Nr. Gujarat High Court.  
Ahmedabad.
2. Telecom Dist. Engineer  
New Telecom Building Nr.  
Alankar Cinema. Surendranagar.
3. S.D.O (Phones)  
New Telecom Building  
Nr. Alankar Cinema  
Surendranagar.

Respondents.

Advocate Shri Akil Kureshi

ORAL JUDGEMENT

O.A. No. 203 of 1993.


Date: 5-5-1993.


Per Hon'ble Shri N.B Patel Vice Chairman

It appears that the applicant has addressed <sup>a</sup>the representation dated 21-1-1993 (Annexure A-1) addressed to the T.D.E (respondent no.2) and has there-  
after also sent advocate's notice dated 30-3-1993  
(Annexure A-3) but the said representation is still  
not decided. It further appears from Annexure A-2  
that the representation is forwarded by the S.D.O  
(phones) , Surendranagar, to the "higher Officer"

on or before 19th February 1993. It is stated that the representation is still not decided by the competent authority. The concerned competent authority is directed to consider and decide the representation of the applicant (Annexure A-1 and A-3) latest within six weeks from the date of the receipt of <sup>a</sup>the copy of this order. The decision taken on the representation shall be communicated to the applicant within a period of one <sup>week</sup>~~month~~ after its being taken. If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision, it will be open to him to approach the Tribunal once again.

In view of these directions and observations, Mr. Pathak seeks permission to withdraw the present original application. Permission granted. Application stands disposed of as ~~being~~ withdrawn. No order as to costs.

  
(V. Radhakrishnan)  
Member (A)

  
(N.B. Patel)  
Vice Chairman

\*AS.

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
Ahmedabad Bench

① Application No. 203 of 19 83.

Transfer Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ Old W. Pett No. \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATE

Certified that no further action is required to be taken and the case is fit for consignment to the Record Room (Decided)

Dated : 28/5/83

Countersigned :

*ash gani 9.6.83*  
Section Officer/Court officer

*K. S. Sharma*  
Signature of the Dealing Assistant

## INDEX SHEET

NAMES OF THE PARTIES Sh. Durgabai Chhangabhai

C. A. I. & Assoc.

[illegible]