

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

AHMEDABAD BENCH

C.A.NO. 40 of 1995 in

O.A. NO. 219 of 1992.

~~**T.A. NO.**~~

DATE OF DECISION 8th August, 1995.

Shri M.M.Solanki Petitioner

Shri M.S.Trivedi Advocate for the Petitioner (s)

Versus

Union of India and ors. Respondent

Shri A.S.Kothari Advocate for the Respondent (s)

CORAM

The Hon'ble Mr. N.B.Patel : Vice Chairman

The Hon'ble Mr. V.Radhakrishnan : Member(A)

JUDGMENT

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment ?
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ?

No

Shri M.M.Solanki,
T & C SMITH,
Western Railway,
L.F.Mehsana.

...Applicant.

(Advocate : Mr.M.S.Trivedi)

Versus

1. Union of India, through
Shri Ravindra Rajan or his
successor,
The General Manager,
Western Railway,
Churchgate,
Bombay.
2. Shri A.K.Nag or his successor,
The Divisional Railway Manager,
O/o.D.R.M. Western Railway,
Kothi Compound,
Rajkot.
3. Shri R.C.Meena or his successor
Senior Divisional Mechanical Engineer,
O/o.Sr.D.R.M.(E), Western Railway,
Rajkot.

...Respondents.

(Advocate : Mr.A.S.Kothari)

ORAL ORDER
C.A.NO. 40 OF 1995 in
O.A.No.219 OF 1992.


Date : 8.8.1995.


Per : Hon'ble Mr.N.B.Patel : Vice Chairman

7
The directions to the Railways was to consider the
applicant's notice for voluntary retirement and to take
decision within a stipulated time-limit. In the reply
filed on behalf of the Railways, it is stated that
decision is taken and the applicant's notice to retire
voluntarily is accepted. This being so, Contempt proceedings

are closed. In case of any difficulty such as that the statement of acceptance of notice is not correct, the applicant will have liberty to ^{ask for removal of} ~~revive~~ the Contempt

Application. Notice discharged. No order as to costs.


(V. Radhakrishnan)
Member(A)


(N.B. Patel)
Vice Chairman

ait.