

# CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

## AHMEDABAD BENCH

C.A./33/96 in

**O.A.NO.**355/92~~**T.A.NO.**~~DATE OF DECISION 06/5/96Shri J.J.Anshari

Petitioner

Mr. P.H.Pathak

Advocate for the Petitioner [s]

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

Respondent

Mr. ~~Atul~~ Kureshi

Advocate for the Respondent [s]

CORAM

The Hon'ble Mr. N.B. Patel

: Vice Chairman

The Hon'ble Mr. V. Radhakrishnan

: Member (A)

**JUDGMENT**

- 1, Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment ?
- 2, To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
- 3, Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment ?
- 4, Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ?

No,

Shri I.I.Anshari  
Laxmipura Street No.5,  
Surendranagar.  
(Advocate: Mr.P.H.Pathak)

: Applicant

Versus

1. Mr.Bashkaran  
General Manager,  
Telecommunication Deptt.  
or his successor in office  
Nr.Gujarat High Court,  
Ahmedabad.
2. Mr.B.M.Patel  
Sub-Divisional Officer(PHone)  
or his successor in office  
Telecommunication Deptt.  
Dhangadhra.
3. Mr.H.N.Shuha  
Divisional Engineer of Telecom.  
or his successor in office  
Telecommunication Deptt.  
Surendranagar.

: Respondents.

(Advocate: Mr.Akil Kureshi)

ORAL ORDER


C.A./33/96 in OA/355/92


Date:6.5.96

Per: Hon'ble Mr.N.B.Patel

: Vice Chairman

The learned Additional Standing Counsel Mr.Kureshi states that so far as the judgment was not complied with, as an SLP was preferred but now that the SLP is rejected, the judgment will be complied with as early as possible and, in any event, within three weeks from today. In view of this, the present proceedings are closed, and notice is discharged with liberty to the applicant to ask for revival of the proceedings if the judgment is not complied with, within the aforesaid period.

  
(V.Radhakrishnan)  
Member(A)

  
(N.B.Patel)  
Vice Chairman