

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**  
AHMEDABAD BENCH

**O.A.NO.** /512/92  
**T.A.NO.**

DATE OF DECISION 4.9.98

E.A.Somnathan Petitioner

Mr. M.S.Trivedi Advocate for the Petitioner [s]  
Versus

Union of India and another Respondent

Mrs. P. Safaya Advocate for the Respondent [s]

**CORAM**

The Hon'ble Mr. V. Ramakrishnan, Vice Chairman

The Hon'ble Mr. Laxman Jha, Member (J)

**JUDGMENT**

- 1, Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment ? *no*
- 2, To be referred to the Reporter or not ? *no*
- 3, Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment ?
- 4, Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ? *no*

E.A. Somnathan  
Senior Auditor, Office of A.G.  
Rajkot.

..... Applicant

Advocate: Mr. M.S.Trivedi

Versus

1. Union of India, through,  
The Comptroller and Auditor General  
Office of the C.A.G.  
New Delhi.
2. Accountant General  
Office of the Accountant General (Audit)  
Rajkot, Race Course Road  
Rajkot.

... Respondents

Advocate: Mrs. P. Safaya-

ORAL ORDER

IN

O.A.512/92

Dated 4.9.98

Per Hon'ble Mr. V. Ramakrishnan, Vice Chairman:

We have heard Mr. M.S.Trivedi for the applicant and Mrs. P. Safaya for the respondents.

2. The applicant is an employee of A.G.'s office and is aggrieved by the action of the respondents in not permitting him to exercise option within the extended time limit. It transpires that consequent to the revision of pay scales as per the IV Pay Commission recommendations, Ministry of Finance had initially fixed some time limit but subsequently, there was an order raising the time limit to 31.12.89 within which date the option could be exercised.


Mr. Trivedi says that similarly situated employees of the same department had approached this Tribunal and got favourable orders. Mrs. Safaya is not able to confirm whether such benefit has been extended to the present applicant also by the department itself.

3. In the light of the submission of both sides, we direct the department to treat the present O.A. as a representation and examine and decide the request of the applicant in the light of the decision taken in respect of employees who are similarly situated either on the basis of the direction of the Tribunal or otherwise. This should be done within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

4. O.A. is finally disposed of as above with no orders as to costs.



(Laxman Jha)  
Member (J)



(V. Ramakrishnan)  
Vice Chairman

pmr