

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
AHMEDABAD.

OA/TA/MA/RA/C.A. No.

OA/325/1992

MR P.B. Shamel

MR. M.S. Teivelo

APPLICANT(S)

COUNSEL

VERSUS

U.O.I. 8 des

Dr. B.R. KY925

RESPONDENT(S)

COUNSEL

Date	Officer Report	Orders
	14-99-	Memo to APP. Fi by Mr. B.R. KY925 and dt. 10/9/99

O.A. 325/1992

Date	Office Report	ORDER
(4) 12.8.1992		<p>Present; Mr. M.S.Trivedi, Adv/Apt.</p> <p>We have heard the applicant's counsel. His grievance is against the final order Annexure A-7. He has not sought for a prayer to quash the Ann.A.7 with a specific terms. He directed to amend the application suitably within two weeks. Obviously there is a delay if so advise, he may also prefer an application for condonation of delay. Call on 31st August, 1992.</p> <p>(R.C.Bhatt) Member (A)</p> <p>(N.V.Krishnan) Vice Chairman</p> <p>vtc.</p>
(5) 31.8.92		<p>Present: Mr. M.S.Trivedi, Adv/Apt.</p> <p>M.A. 239/92 is filed by the applicant for amendment of the application, as the application on admission stage. M.A. is allowed. The learned counsel for the applicant is directed to carry out the amendment in the Registry.</p> <p>2. The applicant is also filed M.A. 240/92 for condonation of delay. There are some objections. The M.A. will put up after correction on 17 September, 1992.</p> <p>(R.C.Bhatt) Member (J)</p> <p>(N.V.Krishnan) Vice Chairman</p> <p>vtc.</p>

O.A./325/92

Date	Office Report	ORDER
10.09.1992. (07)		<p data-bbox="572 591 1458 698">Present : Mr.M.S.Trivedi, learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p data-bbox="572 739 1505 1008">Shri M.S.Trivedi, learned counsel for the applicant seeks two weeks time to clear the objections regarding M.A./240/92, for condonation of delay. Call on 24th September, 1992.</p> <p data-bbox="1089 1075 1387 1169">(N.V.Krishnan) Vice Chairman</p> <p data-bbox="556 1169 635 1209">AIT</p>
(2) 24.9.92		<p data-bbox="540 1317 1528 1451">Mr. M.S.Trivedi for the applicant. Mr.B.R. Kyada for the respondents. Call on 8th October, 1992</p> <p data-bbox="540 1559 744 1639">(R.C.Bhatt) Member (J)</p> <p data-bbox="1081 1572 1348 1653">(N.V.Krishnan) Vice Chairman</p> <p data-bbox="556 1680 635 1720">vtc.</p>

Date	Office Report	O R D E R
(4) 12.8.1992		<p>Present; Mr. M.S.Trivedi, Adv/Apt.</p> <p>We have heard the applicant's counsel. His grievance is against the final order Annexure A-7. He has not sought for a prayer to quash the Ann.A.7 with ⁱⁿ specific terms. He directed to amend the application suitably within two weeks. Obviously there is a delay. If so advise, he may also prefer an application for condonation of delay. Call on 31st August, 1992.</p> <p><i>R.C. Bhatt</i> (R.C.Bhatt) Member (A)</p> <p><i>N.V. Krishnan</i> (N.V.Krishnan) Vice Chairman</p> <p>vtc.</p>
(5) 31.8.92	<p><i>Resp. Submitted</i></p> <p><i>Amendment is carried out</i></p> <p><i>Dismissed 9-8-92 SC</i></p>	<p>Present: Mr. M.S.Trivedi, Adv/Apt.</p> <p>M.A. 239/92 is filed by the applicant for amendment of the application, as the application is on admission stage.. M.A. is allowed. The learned counsel for the applicant is directed to carry out the amendment in the Registry.</p> <p>2. The applicant is also filed M.A. 240/92 for condonation of delay. There are some objections. The M.A. will put up after correction on 11th September, 1992.</p> <p><i>R.C. Bhatt</i> (R.C.Bhatt) Member (J)</p> <p><i>N.V. Krishnan</i> (N.V.Krishnan) Vice Chairman</p> <p>vtc.</p>

O.A./325/92

Date	Office Report	ORDER
10.09.1992. (07)	<p>Present : Mr.M.S.Trivedi, learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>Shri M.S.Trivedi, learned counsel for the applicant seeks two weeks time to clear the objections regarding M.A./240/92, for condonation of delay. Call on 24th September, 1992.</p> <p><i>Resp Submitted</i> <i>Objections cleared</i> <i>22/9/92</i> <i>SO</i></p>	<p>(N.V.Krishnan) Vice Chairman</p> <p>AIT</p>
(2) 24.9.92	<p>Mr. M.S.Trivedi for the applicant. Mr.B.R. Kyada for the respondents. Call on 8th October, 1992.</p> <p><i>rc</i> (R.C.Bhatt) Member (J)</p> <p>vtc.</p>	<p>(N.V.Krishnan) Vice Chairman</p>

22/10/92.

[P.T.O.]

Continued

of delay

Hence, the M.A. for condonation ^{is} rejected and
O.A. also stands rejected on this ground.

ne

(R.C. BHATT)

MEMBER (J)

N.V.

(N.V. KRISHNAN)

VICE CHAIRMAN

*&SS

XX

Date	Office Report	O R D E R
(8) 8/10/1992		<p>Present : Mr.M.S.Trivedi for the applicant. Mr.B.R.Kyada for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Kyada seeks 10 days time to file reply on M.A.240/92.</p> <p>Call on 22nd October,1992.</p> <p>(R.C.BHATT) (N.V.KRISHNAN) MEMBER (J) VICE CHAIRMAN</p> <p>*SS</p> <p>Present : Mr.M.S.Trivedi for the applicant. Mr.B.R.Kyada for the respondents.</p> <p>The applicant has filed M.A.240/9 seeking the condonation of delay in filing the application. The applicant was informed by Annexure A/7 order dated 13/7/1990 that his appeal is dismissed. This is the impugned order. This application should have been filed before 13/7/1991. It is filed only on 27/9/1992. Though the applicant grievance arose on 13/7/1990 it is stated in the M.S.that the delayed filing this application, because representation of similar situated persons were also pending. That is not a proper reason to delay filing the appeal</p>

22/10/92