

**IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**  
**AHMEDABAD BENCH**

O.A. No. 165/92  
~~T.A. No.~~

DATE OF DECISION 30.4.1992

Miss Hurbanu Mahemudmiya Malek Petitioner

Mr. A.M. Bukhari Advocate for the Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India & Ors. Respondent

Mr. Akil Kureshi Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Mr. R.C. Bhatt

: Member (J)

The Hon'ble Mr.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement ?
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ?

Miss Hurbanu Mahemudmiya Malek,

... Applicant

Vs.

1. Senior Superintendent of  
Post Offices, Western Divn.,  
Fatehganj,  
Baroda.
2. Assistant Superintendent of  
Post Offices, North Sub-Divn.,  
Fateh Gang,  
Vadodara- 390 002.
3. Union of India,  
Through:  
Post Master General,  
Gujarat,  
Ahmedabad.

... Respondents

O R A L J U D G M E N T

O.A. No. 165 of 1992

Date: 30.4.1992

Per: Hon'ble Mr. R.C. Bhatt

: Member (J)

Heard Mr. A.M. Bukhari learned advocate for the applicant and Mr. Akil Kureshi learned advocate for the respondents.

2. Learned advocate Mr. Bukhari for the applicant submits that the grievance made by the applicant in this application, now no longer remains. Mr. Kureshi learned advocate for the respondents has also stated at the Bar that the applicant is being appointed as contended by the applicant, in view of this fact, Mr. Bukhari learned advocate for the applicant does not press for O.A. further.

O R D E R

O.A. is disposed of as not pressed. No order as to costs.

*R.C. Bhatt*  
(R.C. Bhatt)  
Member (J)