

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

AHMEDABAD BENCH

C.A.No. 10/94

in

O.A. NO. 162/92

~~Ex. No.~~DATE OF DECISION 2.1.1995.Bhanoo Tapu, PetitionerMr. P.H. Pathak, Advocate for the Petitioner (s)

Versus

Shri L.S. Mathur, Respondent
(Western Railway)Mr. R.M. Vin, Advocate for the Respondent (s)**CORAM**

The Hon'ble Mr. N.B. Patel, Vice Chairman.

The Hon'ble Mr. K. Ramamoorthy, Admn. Member.

JUDGMENT

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment ?
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ?

No.

Bhanoo Tapu,
Shiv Bhavan
Kadiya Plot,
Mill Para, Porbandar.

.... Applicant.

(Advocate: Mr. P.H. Pathak)

Versus.

Shri L.S. Mathur,
Divisional Operating Supdnt.(E)
or his successor in office
Western Railway,
Bhavnagar Para,
Bhavnagar.

..... Respondent.

(Advocate: Mr. R.M. Vin)

ORAL ORDER

C.A.No. 10 OF 1994

in

O.A.No. 162/1992

Date: 2.1.1995.

Per: Hon'ble Mr. N.B. Patel, Vice Chairman.

The applicant and Mr. Pathak are not present.
Considering paras 4 & 5 of the reply filed by the
respondents, we are of the opinion that it is not
necessary to continue these contempt proceedings.
Accordingly proceedings are dropped. Notice discharged.
No costs.

R

(K. Ramamoorthy)
Member(A)

W
(N.B. Patel)
Vice Chairman

vtc.