

(3)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
AHMEDABAD BENCH

RA/6/94 in

O.A. No/135/92

~~P.A. No.~~

DATE OF DECISION 04/3/1994

The Chief Postmaster General & Anr Petitioner(s)

Mr. Akil Kureshi Advocate for the Petitioner(s)

Versus

Shri Naresh M. Makwana Respondent

Mr. P.H. Pathak Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Mr. N.B. Patel

: Vice Chairman

The Hon'ble Mr. K. Ramamoorthy

: Member(A)

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement ?
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ?

No

(u)

: 2 :

1. The Chief Postmaster General,
Gujarat Circle,
Navrangpura, Ahmedabad.
2. The Post Master,
S.T. Stand Main Exchange,
Behrampura, Ahmedabad.

Applicants

Advocate Mr. Akil Kureshi

versus

Shri Naresh M. Makwana,
440/165 Chokshi Chawl,
Rakhiyal Road,
Nr. Marsden Mill,
Ahmedabad

Respondent

Advocate Mr. P. H. Pathak

ORAL ORDER

in

R.A. 6/94 IN

O.A. 135/92

Date: 4-3-1994

Per : Hon'ble Mr. N. B. Patel

: Vice Chairman


The only ground for review raised before us by Mr. Kureshi on behalf of the review applicant is that, there was no question of applicability of Section 25 F of the Industrial Disputes Act, as the respondent who


: 3 :

2

: 3 :

was the applicant in O.A.135/92, was not appointed by the department but was appointed by the original appointee of the department who was to proceed on deputation to some other post. Such a contention was not raised in the reply filed by the review applicants in the Original Application and, therefore, cannot now ^{Reply to the} ^{be allowed} ~~allow~~ to be raised. There is no error, much less error apparent on the ^{base of} ~~record~~, in the judgment of the Tribunal, Review Application rejected.


(K. Ramamoorthy)
Member (A)


(N. B. Patel)
Vice Chairman

A.A.B.