

**IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**  
**AHMEDABAD BENCH**

3

O.A. No. 408/91  
T.A. No.

DATE OF DECISION 27-8-93

M.P. Patel and others

Petitioner

Shri P.H. Pathak

Advocate for the Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondent

Shri Akil Kureshi

Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Mr. N.B. Patel

Vice Chairman.

The Hon'ble Mr. V. Radhakrishnan Member (A)

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement ?
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ?

ND

M.P. Patel  
V.G. Bedarkar

Association of Railway &  
Post Employees, through  
its treasurer Shri R.C. Pathak  
having its office at Allap Flats,  
Opp. Anjali Theatre, Vasna Road,  
Ahmedabad.

Applicants

Advocate Mr. P.H. Pathak

Versus

1. Union of India  
Notice to be served through  
The Secretary, Deptt of Tele-  
communication, Government of India  
Rafi Marg, New Delhi

2. Chief General Manager  
Telecommunication,  
Gujarat Telecom Circle, Ahmedabad.

3. General Manager Telecom  
Ahmedabad Telecom Dist.  
Ramnivas Building, Khanpur,  
Ahmedabad.

Respondents

Advocate Shri Akil Kureshi

ORAL JUDGEMENT

In

O.A. 408 of 1991

Dt. 27-8-1993.


Per Hon'ble Shri N.B. Patel

Vice Chairman.

Mr. Kureshi produces office Memo. No 22-  
5/92-TE-II issued by Government of India, Ministry of Communication,

5



Department of Telecom dated 23rd August 1993 which may be taken on record. In view of this Office Memorandum, Mr. Pathak for the applicant does not press the application. The application is accordingly disposed of as not pressed, with, however, liberty to either side to move the Tribunal for revival of this application in case any difficulty arises in view of ~~the~~ paragraphs 7 and 8 of the above quoted Office Memorandum. The respondents are directed to make fixation of pay of the applicants in terms of the said Office Memorandum as ~~early~~ as possible and, preferably, within a period of two months. No order as to costs.

  
(V. Radhakrishnan)  
Member (A)

  
(N.B. Patel)  
Vice Chairman.

\*AS.

6

Date	Office Report	Order
12/11/93		<p data-bbox="722 315 1495 1189">In our order dated 27.8.1993, we have directed the respondents to make fixation of pay of the applicas<sup>w</sup> as early as possible and preferrably within a period of two months. We have thus, not fixed any rigid time-limit for the respondents to make fixation of the pay of the applicants. There is, therefore, no question of extending the time-limit. Still, however, we dispose of this application with the direction that the respondents may comply with the order, dated 27.8.1993, as early as possible and preferrably by 31.12.1993.</p> <p data-bbox="777 1234 1365 1272">M.A./620/93 stands disposed of.</p> <p data-bbox="738 1301 1138 1335">No order as to costs.</p> <div data-bbox="727 1357 1036 1491"> (V. Radhakrishnan) Member (A)</div> <div data-bbox="1310 1357 1558 1491"> (N.B. Patel) Vice Chairman</div> <p data-bbox="722 1592 824 1615">a.a.b.</p>

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AHMEDABAD BENCH

M.P. Patel  
V.G. Bedarkar  
Association of Railway and  
Post Employees, Through  
its treasurer Shri R.C. Pahtak,  
having its office at Allap Flats,  
Opp. Anjalee Cinema, Vasna Road,  
Ahmedabad.

..Applicants.

Advocate Mr. P.H. Pathak

Versus

1. Union of India  
Notice to be served through  
The Secretary, Deptt of  
Telecommunication, Government  
of India, Rafi Marg,  
New Delhi.
2. Chief General Manager  
Telecommunication,  
Gujarat Telecom Circle,  
Ahmedabad.
3. General Manager Telecom  
Ahmedabad Telecom Dist.  
Ramnivas Building, Khanpur,  
Ahmedabad.

..Respondents.

Advocate Shri Akil Kureshi

O R A L J U D G E M E N T

IN

O.A. 408 OF 1991.

DATE 27.8.1993.

per : Hon'ble Shri N.B. Patel

Vice Chairman.

Mr. Kureshi produces office Memo. No. 22-5/92/TE-II issued by Government of India, Ministry of Communication Department of Telecom dated 23rd August 1993 which may be taken on record. In view of this Office Memorandum, Mr. Pathak for the applicant does not press the application. The application is accordingly disposed of as not pressed with, however, liberty to either side to move the Tribunal for revival of this application in case any difficulty arises in view of paragraphs 7 and 8 of the above quoted Office Memorandum. The respondents are directed to make fixation of pay of the applicants in terms of the said office Memorandum as early as possible and, preferably, within a period of two months. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(V. Radhkrishnan)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

( N.B. Patel )  
Vice Chairman