

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**  
AHMEDABAD BENCH

**O.A.NO.** 366/91  
**T.A.NO.**

DATE OF DECISION 26.3.98

Mr.V.D.Dave Petitioner

Mr.K.C.Bhatt Advocate for the Petitioner [s]  
Versus

Union of India & Ors. Respondent

Mr.Akil Kureshi Advocate for the Respondent [s]

CORAM

The Hon'ble Mr. V.Radhakrishnan : Member (A)

The Hon'ble Mr. P.C. Kannan : Member (J)

**JUDGMENT**

- 1, Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment ?
- 2, To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
- 3, Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment ?
- 4, Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ?

Vishnuprasad Dinkarray  
Dave  
17, Sangam society,  
Surendranagar-363001.

....

Applicant

(Advocate : Mr.K.C.Bhatt )

VERSUS

1..Union of India, through:  
The Director General  
Department of Posts  
Ministry of Communication  
NEW DELHI 110 001.

2. The Chief Postmaster General  
Gujarat Circle  
AHMEDABAD 380 009.

3. The postmaster General  
Rajkot Region  
RAJKOT 360 001.

4. The Supdt.of Post Offices  
Surendranagar Division  
SURENDRANAGAR 363 001.

....

Respondents.

(Advocate : Mr.Akil Kureshi )

O R A L   O R D E R

Date: 26.3.1998.

OA/366/91

Per: Hon'ble Shri V.Radhakrishnan : Member(A)

Mr.Kureshi files appearance on behalf of  
the respondents in place of Mr.Jayant Patel.


Heard Mr.K.C.Bhatt and Mr.Akil Kureshi  
the learned counsels for the applicant and respondents  
respectively.


It appears that after interim order issued  
by the Tribunal, the applicant was posted at Surendranagar  
in the supervisory post at Head Post office. Keeping in view  
impugned order dated 23.5.91, we have inquired from

Mr.Kureshi as to whether the order is still in force. He fairly agreed that at this point of time, the order dated 23.5.91 may no longer be operative and the applicant is at present allowed to continue in the supervisory post at Surednanagar Head Post office and at present there is no move to disturb him from that place.

In view of the above, grievance of the applicant does not survive. O.A. stands disposed of as infructuous with liberty to the applicant to recourse of legal remedy in case, of any fresh order against which he may have any grievance.

No order as to costs.

  
( P.C.Kannan )  
Member (J)

  
( V.Radhakrishnan )  
Member (A)

npm