

Teammio 1007

2

CAT/3/12

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
AHMEDABAD BENCH  
~~NEW DELHI~~

O.A. No. 240 OF 1991  
~~TAXON~~

DATE OF DECISION 4-10-1991

Mr. Jayantibhai Haribhai Parmar Petitioner  
and others.

Mr. K. K. Shah, Advocate for the Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and Others. Respondent

Mr. Jayant Patel. Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Mr. P. S. Habib Mohammed : Administrative Member

The Hon'ble Mr. R. C. Bhatt : Judicial Member

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement?
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal?

1. Mr. Jayantibhai Haribhai Parmar,
2. Mr. Mohanbhai Shakrabhai Makwana,
3. Mr. Parshottam Dooshabhai Vankar,
4. Sardaben Zinabhai Parmar.

C/o. Mr. Kiran K. Shah, Advocate,  
3, Achalayatan Society Div. II,  
Behind Memnagar Fire Station,  
Navrangpura,  
Ahmedabad.

...Applicants.

( Advocate : Mr. K.K. Shah )

Versus

1. Union of India,  
Notice to be served through  
Ministry of Communication Department of Post,  
Dak Bhavan,  
Sansad Marg,  
New Delhi.
2. Chief Post Master General,  
Gujarat Circle,  
Ahmedabad.
3. Chief Post Master,  
G.P.O.,  
Ahmedabad.

...Respondents.

( Advocate : Mr. Jayant Patel )

O.A.No.240 Of 1991.

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. P.S. Habeeb Mohammed : Administrative  
Member

Hon'ble Mr. R.C. Bhatt : Judicial Member

ORAL ORDER

Date : 4th Oct. 1991.

Per : Hon'ble Mr. R.C. Bhatt : Judicial Member

Learned advocate Mr. K.K. Shah, for the applicants, submits that the applicants want to withdraw the application unconditionally. Hence, learned advocate Mr. Mukesh Patel, for Mr. Jayant Patel, and Mr. E.A. Samuel for Mr. P.M. Raval, for the respondents have no objection. Hence, the applicants allowed to withdraw the case unconditionally. Hence, the application is dismissed as want of prosecution. No order as to costs. The application is disposed of, as withdrawn.

( R.C. Bhatt )  
Judicial Member

( P.S. Habeeb Mohammed )  
Administrative Member

Date	Office Report.
16.7.1991	<p>Present : Mr. K.K. Shah, learned counsel for the applicant</p> <p>Mr. M.R. Raval for Mr. P.M. Raval, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The matter is wrongly listed today. Notice is mentioned to have been issued and served on 10.7.1991. Adjourned.</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i> (S Santhana Krishnan) Judicial Member</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i> (M M Singh) Admv. Member</p> <p>*Mogera</p>
11.9.1991	<p>Present : None for either party.</p> <p>Though the reply has been filed and is said to have been served to the other side, no rejoinder has been filed. The applicant is allowed further 10 days time to file rejoinder. If the rejoinder is not filed within the aforesaid period, it will be presumed that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder. List on 4.10.1991.</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i> (R.C.BHATT) Member (J)</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i> (P.C.JAIN) Member (A)</p> <p>*Ani.</p>

*Resp Submitted*  
*Rejoinder not*  
*filed as per*  
*this order*  
*Objection*  
*3.X.91*