

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
AHMEDABAD BENCH

O.A. No. 204/91

~~XXXXXX~~DATE OF DECISION 14.11.1991Mr. Gopaldas K. Patel Petitioner
C/o K.B. PatelMr. K.C. Bhatt Advocate for the Petitioner(s)

Versus

The Principal & Others RespondentMr. P.M. Raval Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Mr. R.C. Bhatt : Member (J)

The Hon'ble Mr. S. Gurushankaran : Member (A)

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement?
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal?

Mr.Gopaldas K. Patel,
C/o.K.B.Patel,
Ram Bhavan,
Nav Radhana Park,
Near Vivekanand Society, No.2,
Surendranagar - 363 001.

...Applicant.

(Advocate : Mr.K.C.Bhatt)

Versus

1. The Principal,
Navodaya Vidyalaya,
Unit of Human Resources Development
Department of Education,
Government of India,
SILI POST SILI - 396 230.
U.T. of Dadra & Nagar Haveli.
2. Deputy Director,
Jawahar Navodaya Vidyalaya Samiti,
Ministry of Human Resources Development
Department of Education,
Government of India, 78,
Mayer Colony,
Coth Road,
Pune - 411 029.
3. Director,
Jawahar Navodaya Vidyalaya Samiti,
Ministry of Human Resources Development
Department of Education,
Government of India,
A/39, Kailash Colony,
New Delhi - 48.

... Respondents.

Mr.E.A.Samuel for
(Advocate : Mr.P.M.Raval,)

Coram : Hon'ble Mr.R.C.Bhatt : Judicial Member
Hon'ble Mr.S.Gurusankaran : Administrative Member

ORAL ORDER

Date : 14th November,
1991.

Per : Hon'ble Mr.R.C.Bhatt : Judicial Member

Heard Mr.K.C.Bhatt, and Mr.E.A.Samuel,
for Mr.P.M.Raval, learned advocates for the applicant and
the respondents respectively. The applicant has filed
this application under Section 19 of the Administrative
Tribunals Act, 1985, praying that the order of the Principal,
Jawahar Navoday Vidyalay, terminating the services of the

applicant under the No. NVS A-11012 (13)'90-91/04, dated
3rd April, 1990, be quashed and set aside and the respon-
dents be directed to re-instate the applicant in service,
with effect from 1st April, 1990, from the date of termina-
ting his service with all consequential benefits being
treated as in job from 1st April, 1990, and the applicant
has also pressed for cost. When this matter came up for
admission on 20th June, 1991, it was pointed out ^{to} by the
learned advocate ^{show} as to whether there is any notification to investigate
^{that this is organisation which attracts} the jurisdiction of this Tribunal, under Section-14, of the
Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, to take cognizance ^{namely}
against this Jawahar Navodaya Vidyalaya Samiti. The
learned advocate for the applicant has prayed for time
to find out whether respondent no.1, ~~xxx~~ is an organisation
as per the notification under Section-14, of the Administrative
Tribunals Act, 1985. The matter has been adjourned from
time to time and the learned advocate for the applicant
is unable to point out such notification under Section-14,
of the Administrative Tribunals Act-1985. Under these
circumstances ^{and in absence of such notification} this Tribunal ~~xxx~~ can not invoke jurisdiction
^{for} as prayed by the applicant. The application is rejected.

S. Gurusankaran
14/11/1991
(S.Gurusankaran)
Member (A)

R.C. Bhatt
(R.C.Bhatt)
Member (J)

AIT