

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

AHMEDABAD BENCH

~~NEW DELHI~~

O.A. No.

98

1991.

~~P.A. No.~~DATE OF DECISION 20.4.1991Shri Hareshkumar Dhanalal PetitionerShri J.D. Ajmera Advocate for the Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India & Ors. RespondentShri B.R. Kyada Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Mr. P.H. Trivedi Vice Chairman

The Hon'ble Mr. R.C. Bhatt Judicial Member

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement? *No*
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? *No*
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement? *No*
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal? *No*

u

Shri Hareshkumar Dhanalal,
Quarter No. 437/C,
Railway Colony,
Gandhidham.
(Advocate - Mr. J.D. Ajmera)

.. Applicant

Versus

1. Union of India,
(Notice to be served
through General Manager,
W. Rly., Churchgate,
Bombay - 400 020).
2. Shri N.D. Gandhi or his
successor in office,
Chairman,
Railway Housing Committee,
C & W., Western Railway,
Gandhidham.
3. Shri U.S. Verma or his
successor in office,
Carriage Wagon Supdt.,
Western Railway,
Gandhidham
(Advocate - Mr. B.R. Kyada)

.. Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. P.H. Trivedi .. Vice Chairman
Hon'ble Mr. R.C. Bhatt .. Judicial Member

O.A. No. 98 of 1991

ORDER

Dated : 20.4.1991

Per : Hon'ble Mr. P.H. Trivedi .. Vice Chairman


Heard learned advocate Mr. J.D. Ajmera in this case and OA/97/91 and OA/100/91. He states that the facts are identical in all these cases. The applicants have been put into possession of the quarters according to them by oral instruction on the result of a committee of the Railway officials for allotment of quarter and now are being threatened for eviction. No allotment order is admittedly passed and no order of eviction has been passed either. He states that in another case with similar circumstances the petition has been

5

-- 3 --

admitted. We are not persuaded by the learned advocate for issue of notice. If the applicants have been in possession for considerable period whatever right they may have under other laws for continuing in possession they do not arise from any service rules and no jurisdiction of this Tribunal can be invoked in matters of this nature when no rights have been given to the Government servant under any order of allotment and there can be no cause without any order for~~e~~ eviction of quarter. The application cannot be based on mere averments for apprehensions. Application accordingly dismissed.


(R C Bhatt)
Judicial Member


(P H Trivedi)
Vice Chairman

*Mogera