

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~
~~PRINCIPAL BENCH, DELHI~~

AHMEDABAD BENCH.

(2)

O.A No.

476

1990.

~~XXXXXX~~DATE OF DECISION 6.12.1990Govindbhai S. Valand & Anr. PetitionerMr. I.M. Pandya Advocate for the Petitioner (s)

Versus

Union of India & Anr. RespondentMr. P.M. Raval Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM .

The Hon'ble Mr. P.H. Trivedi .. Vice Chairman

The Hon'ble Mr. J.P. Sharma .. Judicial Member

JUDGMENT

O.A. No. 476 of 1990

1. Govindbhai S. Valand,
248/2965, Mahatma Gandhi
Vasahat, Gota Gam,
Tal. Daskroi, Dist. Ahmedabad.
2. Jagdish Ambalal Parikh,
Technician Trainee,
D.T.T.C., Ashram Road,
Patel Chambers,
Ahmedabad.

.. Applicants

(Advocate - Mr. I.M. Pandya)

Versus

1. Union of India, through,
The Secretary,
Department of Telecommunications,
Sansad Bhavan, Parliament street,
New Delhi 110 001.
2. General Manager,
Ahmedabad Telecom District,
Ramnivas Building - 2,
Khanpur, Ahmedabad.

.. Respondents

(Advocate - Mr. P.M. Raval)

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. P.H. Trivedi .. Vice Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. J.P. Sharma .. Judicial Member

O R D E R

Date : 6.12.1990.

Per : Hon'ble Mr. P.H. Trivedi .. Vice Chairman

Heard Mr. I.M. Pandya, learned advocate for the petitioners. The petitioners have not established that he cannot be posted out side the Ahmedabad Telecom Circle. Although they may have a right to refuse such a posting if they do not suit ^{it} ^{the} The impugned order lists a number of persons to whom the orders are addressed. In the absence of the petitioners establishing that there is any restraint that the administration has placed upon itself by any executive instruction or policy, the petitioners can have no grievance if a posting is offered to them. Accordingly petition rejected.

(J P Sharma)
Judicial Member

(P H Trivedi)
Chairman