

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
AHMEDABAD BENCH, AHMEDABAD

RA/M.A/O.A./T.A./ 229447 198⁹⁰

C H Muniya Applicant (s).

Adv. for the
Petitioner (s).

Versus

Union of India & Ors Respondent (s).

pm Raval Adv. for the
Respondent (s).

SR. NO.	DATE.	ORDERS,
1		<p>Termination (Copy not Saved)</p> <p>- R.P.A.D. Recd. From Applt (19/12/90)</p> <p>- R.P.A.D. Recd. From Respondent (14/12/90)</p>
	2/1/91	Adjournment letter received from P.C.S. Godhra,
	19/12/90	No one bench is available on 19/12/90
	8/4/91	FA

O.A./447/90

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. P.H. Trivedi : Vice Chairman
Hon'ble Mr.R.C. Bhatt : Judicial Member

8/2/1991

Heard Mr.D.F.Amin and Mr.P.S.Chapaneria,
learned advocates for the applicant and the respondents.

Learned advocate for the respondents ^{has} pointed
out that there is a provision of appeal against the
impugned order under Rule 10 which has not been taken
^{reasons for}
~~the cause.~~ The applicant to exhaust the remedy before
seeking redressal from the Tribunal. He is at liberty
to file his appeal which may be considered without any
bar of limitation. The application is disposed of
accordingly.



(R.C.Bhatt)
Judicial Member



(P.H.Trivedi)
Vice Chairman

a.a.b.