

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
AHMEDABAD BENCH, AHMEDABAD.

RA/M.A/O.A./ T.A./ 357 ⁹⁰ 198₀

Yashwantrao Dalatrao Applicant (s).

B.K. Modi Adv. for the
Petitioner (s).

Versus

Union of India & Ors Respondent (s).

Dr. J. K. Jha Adv. for the
Respondent (s).

SR. NO.	DATE.	ORDERS.
		<p>Sentiment (Gm. Jha)</p> <p>13/10/90 Dr. J. K. Jha reg. order</p> <p>Dr. J. K. Jha in perm reg. order all</p> <p>10/11/90 to app. order app. order</p> <p>order</p>

O.A./357/90

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr.A.V.Haridasan : Judicial Member
Hon'ble Mr.M.M.Singh : Administrative Member

09/08/1990

Neither the applicant nor his counsel present.
It appears that the applicant is not interested in
prosecuting the matter. The application is therefore
dismissed for default, without being admitted.

It is seen from the ~~file~~ that there are two
applications with the ~~different dates and they are one~~
and the same term and with the same number. Registry
to ^{verify} explain how such application has to be ^h happened to be
taken in to file.

M. M. Singh
(M.M.Singh)
Administrative Member

A.V. Haridasan
(A.V.Haridasan)
Judicial Member

AIT